THE SITUATION OF CHILDREN BELONGING TO VULNERABLE GROUPS IN RUSSIA

Alternative Report

2014
CONTRIBUTORS

The report has been prepared by Anti-discrimination Center «Memorial» with editorial direction of Stephania Kulaeva and Olga Abramenko.

Anti-discrimination Center «Memorial» would like to thank Simon Papuashvili of International Partnership for Human Rights for his assistance in putting this report together and Ksenia Orlova of ADC «Memorial» for allowing us to use the picture for the cover page.
CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ................................................................. 5
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS .............................................. 7
OVERVIEW OF THE LEGAL AND POLICY INITIATIVES IMPLEMENTED
IN THE REPORTING PERIOD ....................................................... 10
VIOLATIONS OF THE RIGHTS OF CHILDREN BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ...... 15
HATE CRIMES AGAINST MINORITY CHILDREN AND LACK OF ADEQUATE
GOVERNMENT RESPONSE .......................................................... 19
NEGATIVE TRADITIONAL PRACTICES INVOLVING VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN ..... 21
FORCED SEPARATION OF CHILDREN FROM THEIR PARENTS ............................. 25
ADEQUATE STANDARD OF LIVING OF MINORITY CHILDREN IN RUSSIA .......... 27
  Living conditions of Central Asian Roma (Mugat) children ......................... 28
  Living conditions of Roma-Magyar children ........................................ 29
ACCESS TO EDUCATION FOR MINORITY CHILDREN ................................... 30
  Lack of measures to encourage regular attendance at schools and promoting education
  for minority children ..................................................................... 30
  Lack of access to education for migrant children ..................................... 31
APPENDIX 1 - BACKGROUND ON ROMA IN RUSSIA ........................................ 34
APPENDIX 2 - ADDITIONAL AND UPDATED INFORMATION (December 2013) ... 36

QUESTION 6. ON MECHANISMS PUT IN PLACE TO PROVIDE PROTECTION
FROM DISCRIMINATION AGAINST CHILDREN IN VULNERABLE SITUATIONS ...... 37
  LACK OF SUPPORT AND PROTECTION FROM XENOPHOBIA FOR CHILDREN
  BELONGING TO ETHNIC MINORITIES ............................................. 37
  INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF UNDERAGE PERSONS INVOLVED IN NATIONALIST
  GROUPS AND LACK OF EFFICIENT STATE MEASURES TO PREVENT INVOLVEMENT OF UNDERAGE
  PERSONS IN COMMITTING HATE CRIMES ...................................... 38
  BREACHES OF RIGHTS OF LABOUR MIGRANTS’ CHILDREN BY OFFICERS
  OF LAW-ENFORCEMENT BODIES .................................................... 38
    Prosecution of underage Uzbekistan national Maldibekov .......................... 38
    Travel ban concerning underage Kyrgyzstan national Samikzhon Samikov ...... 38
  NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES OF “ANTI-MIGRANT” RAIDS BY RUSSIA FEDERATION
  LAW-ENFORCEMENT BODIES IN AUGUST 2013 ON CHILDREN FROM VULNERABLE
  GROUPS – MIGRANTS AND ROMA .................................................... 39
    Raids on Roma settlements .................................................................. 39
    Consequences of “anti-migrant” raids for migrants’ children .................... 41
  LIMITATIONS FOR ACCEPTING CHILDREN OF MIGRANTS TO SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS
  FOR CHILDREN WITHOUT PARENTAL CARE ..................................... 41
  FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE TO A MIGRANT WOMAN GIVING
  BIRTH .............................................................................................. 42
EFFECTS OF RUSSIAN ANTI-GAY LAWS ON LGBT CHILDREN AND CHILDREN OF LGBT FAMILIES .......................... 43

Cases of harassment and psychological violence towards LGBT children .... 43

Restricting access of LGBT children and adolescents to the assistance of teachers and psychologists ........................................... 44

LGBT adolescents starting to realize their identity consider themselves 'illegal', excluded from the public and the law .......................... 45

Forced psychological treatment of LGBT children .......................... 46

Violence and discrimination against LGBT adolescents participating in the Human Rights Movement ........................................... 47

QUESTION 7. ON MEASURES TAKEN TO PREVENT SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN .......................... 47

QUESTION 8. ON CRITERIA USED AS A BASIS FOR SEPARATION OF CHILDREN FROM THEIR PARENTS ......................... 48

Bullying same sex families and threatening to deprive them of children .... 49

Growth of "everyday homophobia" towards same sex families with children .... 50

Forced "double life" for children from LGBT families ......................... 50

QUESTION 18. ON SEGREGATION OF ROMA CHILDREN AT SCHOOLS AND ACCESS TO EDUCATION FOR MIGRANT CHILDREN ............ 51

Segregation of Roma children at Oselkovskaya general education school (Vsevolozhsky District of the Leningrad Oblast) ......................... 51

Barriers to education for labour migrants’ children in the schools of the Russian Federation ......................................................... 52

ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS CONNECTED TO THE INITIAL REPORT OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD ON THE INVOLVEMENT OF CHILDREN IN ARMED CONFLICT (CRC/C/OPAC/RUS/1)( QUESTION 4) ........ 53

QUESTION 4: ON CHILDREN EDUCATED IN MILITARY SCHOOLS ........ 53

Participation of the Cossacks in school education ................................ 53

QUESTION 10. ON MECHANISMS FOR THE QUICKEST PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION OF THE REFUGEE CHILDREN, FOR ASYLUM SEEKING CHILDREN, OR FOR MIGRANT CHILDREN WHO MIGHT BE INVOLVED OR USED IN MILITARY ACTIONS .... 54
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report has been prepared by Anti-Discrimination Center “Memorial” in response to Russia’s fourth and fifth periodic report that was submitted on 3 June 2011. ADC “Memorial” regularly works with people who face discrimination in the Russian Federation through its key programs, which include: Protection of Child Rights Programme, Protection of Roma Rights Programme and Defending the Rights of Ethnic Minorities and Migrants in the North-West Regions of the Russian Federation.

The report is largely based on first-hand empirical material that has been obtained through regular work of ADC “Memorial”. The authors of the report also cite research and other evidence that demonstrate significant areas where the Government of the Russian Federation has failed to meet its obligations under the CRC.

The report does not include information in relation to the implementation of all rights protected by the Convention. Instead, it focuses on some of the problematic areas on which ADC “Memorial” works on a regular basis and has an expertise. Therefore the major focus of the report is on the rights of the migrant children and children belonging to ethnic minorities, including first of all Roma.

The major finding of the report is that the situation of protection of the rights of minority and migrant children has not improved in the reporting period. Many of the legal and policy initiatives introduced by the Russian Government have further limited the rights of the child and contributed to the overall deterioration of their well-being. Some of the initiatives that have been mentioned in the State Party report have a rather formalistic character. An example of such initiative is the creation of the Child Rights Ombudsman both at federal and regional levels. As it is explained in the report the institution is ineffective due to the non-transparent practice of appointments of the ombudsmen, many of the candidates selected having law enforcement background, including prosecutors and individuals with KGB background.

The Government continued to turn a blind eye on high priority problems. For example a key policy document – National Strategy for Action for Children that covers the period of 2012-2017, failed to address one of the most pressing issues - discrimination against minority children. In 2010 a new law on protection of children from harmful information was introduced. The law goes beyond the aim of protection of children from harmful information and effectively limits the freedoms to receive information as protected by Article 13 of the Convention. Furthermore, in 2012 the Federal Ministry of Health introduced a procedure of clinical examination of schoolchildren that legalized humiliating practice of full body examination of schoolchildren. Under the same regulation children can be subjected to regular checks for smoking and drug use.

Also in 2012 first at regional and later at federal level, series of legal initiatives targeting the LGBT people in the name of protection of children have been approved. This legislation indirectly legitimizes the hatred and violence against LGBT people. Furthermore, the law is not only against the LGBT community, but against the children who are deprived of regular information on this topic.

Arguably the most appalling legal initiative was so called Dima Yakovlev law, that entered into force on 1 January 2013 and that deprived thousands of Russian children, many of them in a need for immediate medical assistance, from the chance to be adopted by American parents. The law was introduced in response to adoption of the so called anti-Magnitsky law, that prohibited Russian officials implicated in the torture of Sergei Magnitsky, Russian lawyer who in prison in Moscow on November 16th, 2009, to travel to the United States.
The report contains numerous examples of abuses of the rights of minors by the representatives of law enforcement agencies. Searches and unlawful detentions, followed by violations of procedural rights have been frequent throughout Russian regions. Primary targets of such abuses are physically identifiable minority youth, including migrant children from Caucasus regions, Central Asia as well as Roma children. Likewise, government failed to effectively protect minority children from the racially motivates brutal attacks carried out by Nazi groups. Government response to such attacks is frequently inadequate, with no effective investigation being carried out.

Negative traditional practices that affect thousands of minors have also been left without attention. Particularly vulnerable are girls in the Northern Caucasus and in other parts of Russia where such practices are still common. The report explains why and how such practices lead to the violation of fundamental rights of the children protected by the convention. It also argues that immediate and effective government response is necessary to end such violations.

Another matter discussed in the report is the forceful separation of children from their parents. This dreadful practice starts in maternity hospitals where newborn children are involuntarily confiscated from mothers who do not have personal identification documents. Children are then sent to special centers for adoption. ADC “Memorial” practice contains examples of children being forcefully separated from their parent and deported to their country of origin due to minor violation of migration legislation.

Besides, the report describes inhuman living conditions that many of migrant children have to endure and the lifestyle and that leads to isolation, deterioration of health and to the spread of life-threatening diseases. One of the most affected groups that suffer from unacceptable living conditions is Roma. The majority of Russia’s Roma communities continue to live in settlements that do not have access to drinking water, sewage system and electricity.

The final chapter of the report is dedicated to the complex problem of lack of access to education by minority children as well as notorious practice of segregation of the Roma children at public schools.
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

ADC “Memorial” urges the Government of the Russian Federation to:

1. Develop operative programs both at federal and regional levels, with the aim of tackling child discrimination and ensuring that children have unhampered access to basic social services;

2. Effectively investigate allegations of racially motivated attacks on minority children with the purpose of identification and punishment of those responsible;

3. Adopt the internal regulations for the police clearly describing the actions of police officers regarding minors in line with the child rights standards set by national and international law. Inter alia the instructions should include the regulation how a police officer should act in the case of doubts of the age of the detained person, and in the absence of documents, given that all doubts should be interpreted in favor of the detained person; Ensure observance of these instructions by all police officers;

4. Ensure an effective investigation of each case of child rights violations by the law enforcement officials. Remove those responsible for violations relating to children (including misconduct and violations of procedural rights of the child, not only administrative or criminal) from the work in the law enforcement agencies;

5. Ensure that detained children are guaranteed with procedural rights such as: right to immediately contact family members; right to unhampered access to defense counsel; right to silence and right to be free from all forms of pressure and ill-treatment; strictly ensure that children are not subjected to imprisonment without trial; outlaw use of administrative detention against children and ensure that such procedural rights are guaranteed for every child without discrimination;

6. Ensure that all children in the Russian Federation have access to decent housing, quality school education, including the possibility to study in one’s native language and culture;

7. Provide minority children with all the necessary assistance for studying native languages and cultures;

8. Improve the education of Roma population, by guaranteeing access to school for all children, including those who cannot compile the necessary documents; abolishing the practice of segregation of Roma children in “gypsy classes”, based on ethnicity and a poor command of the Russian language, as discriminatory; integrating all Roma children with other students; and by providing all Roma children with the high quality education according to standards adopted in Russia. For this purpose, the following measures should be undertaken:

   — Ensure the involvement of experts on integration, social workers and counsellors for parents, in schools with Roma pupils;

   — Organize and fund preschool preparation of Roma children. Create a system of evening classes for those children, who for some reason have interrupted their education or have not been enrolled in school at the appropriate age;

   — Ensure that the qualifications of teachers working with Roma children include knowledge of Roma history and culture, as well as the ability to teach Russian to non-native speakers;

   — Ensure integration of Roma children with other children in all classes, as well as in in-school and out-of-school events (sport competitions, festivals, school trips, and so on).

9. End the practice of eviction of Roma families, destruction of their housing and property; in those cases where evictions are deemed as absolutely necessary, ensure that those families who have been subjected to eviction procedures receive adequate alternative housing;

10. Guarantee that the application of Federal Law of Russian Federation no. 436-FZ of 2010-12-23 “On Protection of Children from Information Harmful to their Health and Development” does not interfere with children’s right to receive information, protected by Article 13 of the Convention;
11. Ensure the opportunity for young people to receive useful information about the societal life, including politics, health, environment and youth affairs. In the same line the state should not hinder modern sex education. Instead it should guarantee children with the opportunity to express their views freely on all these issues, including in the form of participation in political campaigns, appearances in the media, and using social media without risk of persecution;

12. Substantially review the Federal Law N 272-FZ ‘On Measures against Persons Involved in Violations of Fundamental Human Rights and Freedoms of Citizens of the Russian Federation’ with the purpose of ensuring orphans living in Russia with the choice to be adopted by US citizens. In this relation, safeguard that the law does not affect the adoption procedures that have already been started before the law came into force on 1 January 2013. Depriving Russian children, especially the ones with serious illnesses, a chance to be adopted leads to multiple forms of violations of the Convention provisions and must not be permitted;

13. While respecting traditional cultures, devote proper attention to the elimination of some of the traditional practices that violate the fundamental rights of the child;

14. Consider setting up an effective system of monitoring for the purpose of protecting children from the negative traditional practices. Such mechanism should incorporate possibility by the victims to launch complaints while their identity is protected. Government should also consider setting up special shelters where victims could be hosted and offered necessary support;

15. Guarantee that children are not separated from their parents due to formal reasons such as not having personal identity documents or work permits;

16. Remove the procedural and administrative barriers of stay of migrant children from countries with which Russia has visa-free regime, on the basis of a residence and work permits of their parents. This should as a minimum include creating an incentive for parents to send their children to school by granting a legal status to each pupil who comes from the migrant workers family legally residing in Russia as well as abolition of the requirement to renew migration card every three months;

17. Ensure that children are not separated from parents during mass detentions and create conditions for family stay in penitentiary institutions to let children spend quality time with their parents who are in detention;

18. Prevent the placement of children in deportation and transit centers in those cases when parents are in Russia;

19. Contribute to the preservation of families by prohibiting the practice of taking away infants from mothers and placing them in the so called “House of Babies” ("Дом Малютки");

20. In the prevention of child abandonment, support socially-weak families, especially single mothers, disadvantaged, prevent the termination of parental rights, introduce continuous monitoring of such families by relevant authorities; ensure that social workers and psychologists provide assistance to families that require such help; create the possibility of temporarily sending children to boarding school with the possibility of returning to the family as the situation at home improves;

21. Establish small boarding schools and children’s homes that would recreate the family environment and provide sufficient financial support for functioning of such institutions;

22. Create institutional mechanisms for the prevention of domestic violence against children. Strengthen the work of local doctors to detect cases of child injuries resulting from domestic violence, provide schools and preschools social workers involved in work with disadvantaged parents with the possibility of introducing preventive sanctions in order to prevent physical and psychological violence in the family, and whenever possible retain children’s links with parents;

23. Systematically collect the data on the number of children who do not attend schools with the purpose of minimizing the number of such cases;
24. Develop a system of crisis support centers for children, which could allow children to launch complaints without interference; Ensure that such crisis centers exchange relevant information with the prosecutor’s office;

25. Ease conditions for mothers in prison to communicate with their children. Whenever appropriate, allow the serving of punishment with the children in conditions which among others offer possibility of physical and intellectual development of the children;

26. Create opportunities of frequent prison visits for the mothers with children under human conditions and enable unhampered access to mothers for under aged children who are in prisons with their mothers;

27. Do not allow reduction of the age of criminal responsibility of children, and avoid using deprivation of liberty as a measure of punishment in relation to young offenders; Instead use alternatives to imprisonment which have more emphasis on rehabilitation;

28. Change the system of appointment of child ombudsmen with view of making it more transparent; such positions should be occupied by individuals who have proof record of protection of child rights. Child ombudsmen’s work should be guided by the Convention on the Rights of the Child, and should contribute to the systematic implementation of the Concluding Observations of the CRC;

29. Create conditions for protecting the lives and dignity of children from vulnerable groups, as well as create a safe environment for children with disabilities, ethnic, religious, linguistic and sexual minorities, and protect them from all forms of violence and abuse;

30. Pay special attention to the respect of gender equality among children in all spheres of life. Undertake special measures for preventing the violation of the rights of girls among the people of the North Caucasus, as well as among those communities that lead a traditional way of life that sometimes leads to violations of fundamental rights;

31. Nurture respect for other cultures, other countries and other peoples. Abandon the military-patriotic rhetoric in schools in favor of inculcating universal humanistic values such as human rights care for the environment etc.;

32. Recognize and respect the absolute right of the child to the cognitive understanding of the world; provide children with the opportunity to understand what they are taught and why they are taught;

33. Protect the inner world of children from violent incursion of adults; respect children’s right to privacy; Avoid forced psychological testing and other forms of violent attempts to control the child’s personality;

34. Do not allow mixing of public and religious education and exclude influence of church and other religious organizations on schools;

35. Ratify the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities that provides access to effective means to protect their rights for disabled children;

36. Ratify the Optional Protocol to the European Social Charter allowing possibility to make collective complaints.
OVERVIEW OF THE LEGAL AND POLICY INITIATIVES IMPLEMENTED IN THE REPORTING PERIOD

As a State Party to the Convention on the Rights of the Child (the Convention), the Russian Federation has an obligation to undertake all appropriate legislative, administrative, and other measures for the realisation of the rights recognized in the Convention. Other international instruments to which Russia is a party and which guarantee the rights of children residing under Russia’s jurisdiction include two optional protocols of the Convention on the Rights of the Child: Optional Protocol on the Sale of Children and Child Pornography (2000) and Optional Protocol on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict (2000), Convention against Discrimination in Education (1960), European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (1950). Furthermore in June 2009 Russia ratified the European Social Charter (1996) and assumed obligation to respect the right of the family to social, legal and economic protection, to be ensured by means of social benefits, fiscal arrangements and other appropriate means.

In addition to international legal norms, there is a substantive body of domestic law that guarantee the rights of children. Some of the key normative acts include the Constitution of the country, the Family Code and the Federal law ‘On Basic Guarantees of the Rights of the Child in the Russian Federation’. The system of social benefits and supports for children and families with children is enshrined in several federal laws. There have been some new initiatives within the reporting period that aim at further improving the legal framework for the protection of the rights of children. For example, in 2008 the Federal Act on Additional Measures of State Support for Families with Children was amended to give families the possibility of availing themselves of the right to use some or all of the maternal capital to repay the principal and interest on credit or a loan, including mortgage, regardless of the period which has elapsed since the birth or adoption of a second or third child. Moreover, in 2009 the Federal Education Act of 10 July 1992, was amended to extend the right of parents and legal guardians to receive partial compensation of parental fees to include parents whose children attend non-State educational establishments with a basic general preschool education programme; in 2013 the Government increased the amount of benefits for maternity leave and for child care. Rules for receiving social benefits at regional level are established by the laws which have local application.

A significant positive step undertaken during the reporting period was the establishment of the Children’s Rights Commissioner (Child Rights Ombudsman) in 2009. Pavel Astakhov was appointed to the post on December 30, 2009 and for the time of writing he still holds this position. By 2013 local child rights ombudspersons have been appointed in 83 regions of Russia. Nonetheless, the non-transparent practice of appointment of federal or regional ombudsmen makes the institution inefficient. There are no specific criteria for the appointment of ombudspersons neither are nominations discussed publicly. Often this leads to situation in which positions are held by individuals who do not have any experience in defending rights of children or working with them. For example, the Children’s Rights Commissioner Mr. Astakhov is a graduate of the School of the KGB and had no experience of working on protection of child rights upon his appointment. He was appointed by the President’s decision without public discussion. In the same line, many of the regional ombudspersons are former prosecutors, investigators or government official. For example, the Ombudsperson for Child Rights in the Tambov regions Evgeny Tamozhnik, before the appointment had worked as a regional prosecutor.1 This non-transparent system of appointments on the positions of child ombudsmen leads to a situation in which the ombudsmen act like law enforcement representatives and not as the child rights guardians.

1 Evgeny Tamozhnik biography can be viewed on the following web page: http://tamdeti.ru/cmsdiv-biografia.html)
The practice of ADC “Memorial” reveals that the Ombudspersons for the Child Rights are inefficient in cases that relate to alleged violations of the rights of children from state authorities. ADC “Memorial” repeatedly sends advocacy letters to the Ombudspersons for the Rights of the Child in the regions, concerning the rights of children from the Roma settlements (especially in relation to the cases concerning demolitions of their houses, as in Kemerovo and Tula). The standard response is a formal reply with no effective measures taken.

In 2012, a National Strategy for Action for Children for 2012 – 2017 was adopted. The strategy fails to address some of the key problems that children in Russia are experiencing. For example the document does not cover one of the key issues – discrimination against minority children. Instead, significant part of document deals with the issues that have nothing or very little to do with the interest of children. Thus, the document talks about “the modern programs of civic and patriotic education aimed at the formation of the Russian civic identity […] and readiness to defend the homeland, and the positive attitude of young people to serve in the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation.”

Some of the legal initiatives that were passed during the reporting period in the name of protection of the rights of the child actually limit fundamental freedoms guaranteed by the Convention. The trend of imposing restrictions has been particularly visible from 2009 onwards. In 2009 Russian legislators approved a federal law, introducing a curfew for children. Persons under 18 were not allowed to appear from 11 pm to 6 am on the streets or in public places without being accompanied by their parents or close relatives. In St. Petersburg there were cases of detention of children by the police. Often they were kept in the police station for several hours without any objective.

In 2012 the Russian Ministry of Health approved a new procedure for conducting clinical examination of schoolchildren. According to the new circular, from 2013 all schoolchildren have to be subjected to full body examination. Such checks also include testing for smoking, using a method that is widely criticized for its lack of objectivity, and testing for drug use.

Federal Law of Russian Federation no. 436-FZ of 2010-12-23 “On Protection of Children from Information Harmful to Their Health and Development” is a content rating law that was passed in 2010 and amended in July 2012. The law classifies information it covers into five categories (indicated as 0+, 6+, 12+, 16+ and 18+) and prohibits its dissemination among children according to the category (as indicated, except for 12+ which can be disseminated to 6+ children under parent supervision). This law prohibits distributing information that could encourage inflicting harm or suicide, triggering a desire to use drugs, alcohol and cigarettes by children.

The definitions contained in the law allow for a broader interpretation and use of prohibitive measures. In practice it serves as a tool in the hands of the government to easily prohibit information which might seem undesirable. Amendments made in early 2013 also introduced fines for providing access to information protected by the law to children. ADC “Memorial” believes that the law provides a fertile ground for the violations of the children’s right to access to information protected under the Article 13 of the Convention, according to which: the child shall have the right to freedom of expression, including freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print, in the form of art, or through any other media of the child’s choice. In its General Comment N 12 (The right of the child to be heard) the Committee clarified, that “freedom of expression relates to the right to hold and express opinions, and to seek and receive information through any media… As such, the obligation it imposes on States parties is to refrain from interference in the expression of those views, or in access to information, while protecting the right of access to means of communication and public dialogue”.

In 2010 there have been some initiatives to bring down the age of criminal responsibility for grave and particularly grave crimes to 12 years. Fortunately the initiatives did not receive enough support in State Duma and were eventually abandoned.

---


3 http://www.rg.ru/2013/02/18/pravila-site-anons.html
A Federal Law N 272-FZ ‘On Measures Against Persons Involved in Violations of Fundamental Human Rights and Freedoms of Citizens of the Russian Federation’ that came into force on 1 January 2013, prohibited adoptions of Russian children by United States citizens. Considering the high share of child adoptions by the US citizens the law plainly harms the interest of Russia’s orphans by depriving them a chance for a better life. The introduction of this law caused outrage among the Russia’s civil society. According to the Children’s Rights Commissioner Mr. Astakhov, at the end of 2012 there were 660,000 orphans in Russia, many of them with serious illnesses and disabilities.

Certain regional administrations have responded to the introduction of the law ‘proactively’. In February 2013, the Governor of the Pskov Region Andrey Turchak generally prohibited all adoptions of orphans abroad from the region. Such politically motivated decisions clearly have a devastating impact on the most vulnerable children: orphans, especially the ones with serious illnesses. There have been numerous media reports concerning the murder of children born with disabilities by family members - a tragic situation which is often imposed by extreme economic hardship. In February 2013, Russia’s Investigation Committee also recognized an increase in the number of cases of family violence against children.

Among others the law also interrupted hundreds ongoing procedures of international adoption. Such international adoption has been a very complex process, requiring the completion of a home study, psychological evaluations, physical examinations, local and national background checks, more than 80 hours of specific adoption classes, acquiring immigration clearance, and the gathering of dozens of legal document all of which require specialized notarization and apostils to be accepted by the Russian authorities. After completing these formal parts of the adoption process, and submitting Registration Dossier, parents waited many months for a response from Russia in order to receive a referral for a child to adopt. By the time when the law came into force on 1 January 2013, many of the potential adoptive parents have already established first contacts with the children and managed to develop a sensitive parental bond. The new law though, made it impossible to complete even these adoption cases leaving both parents and children devastated.

A number of regional laws, introducing administrative responsibility for the “promotion of homosexuality among minors” and “leveling the value of traditional and non-traditional families” were introduced from 2011 onwards. A number of cities like Ryazan, Kostroma, Arkhangelsk, Samara, St. Petersburg and Novosibirsk have also introduced appropriate implementing legislation and in 2013 a Federal law with the similar contents was passed in two readings by the State Duma.

In practice, the introduction of these restrictions means a ban on children to receive any information related to LGBT people. Children will be prohibited to attend events which raise the issues of gay and lesbian life, including exhibitions, film festivals, debates and any social events of similar character. There is no doubt that appropriately presented information on non-traditional sexual orientation can help educate young people as well as make them more tolerant. Nonetheless, providing people less than 18 years of age with such information is prohibited under the Russian law.

While non-traditional sexual orientation is widely condemned by the general public, teenagers often form negative attitudes in relation to their gay and lesbian peers. Psychologists have already indicated a high suicide risk of LGBT children who are deprived of objective information, community support and friends who are unable to form positive opinion about their LGBT peers.

In a number of schools in St. Petersburg, teenage pupils were surveyed by the psychologists after the adoption of the law against “propaganda of homosexuality among minors”. Such ‘surveys’ were conducted with the intention of contributing to the formation of negative attitudes towards LGBT people. Groups
of teenagers were collectively asked to answer the question: “How would you react if you found out that one of you was a gay?” “The question provoked sharp negative comments towards possible sexual minorities, as the psychologist concluded that ‘being LGBT is a bad fashion’.

The adoption of such laws contradicts ideas of tolerance and human rights, lead to a suffering of adolescents who are already aware of their sexual orientation which differs from that of a majority and reinforces hatred, violence and bullying against minorities.

Laws banning sex education, including in relation to sexual minorities, directly contradict the rights of the child to freely receive and disseminate information and be protected from discrimination, as guaranteed by the Convention.

According to the Convention on the Rights of the Child, Russia shall respect and ensure the rights to each child within their jurisdiction “without discrimination of any kind, irrespective of the child’s or his or her parent’s or legal guardian’s race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, property, disability, birth or other status” (Art. 2 of the Convention). In its last Concluding Observations the Committee recommended that the State party took all necessary measures to prevent and combat all forms of discrimination, inter alia via national and regional awareness campaigns and effective interventions in all incidents of discrimination, while paying special attention to the most vulnerable groups such as children belonging to religious and ethnic minorities, Roma children and children of parents without a residence permit. The Committee recommended that the State party strengthen its efforts to ensure that the general principle of the best interests of the child is understood and appropriately integrated and implemented in all legal provisions as well as in judicial and administrative decisions and in projects, programmes and services that have an impact on children.

In the same line the UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination has in its Concluding Observations from year 2008 recommended the Russian Federation to carefully review the criteria by which children are allocated to special remedial classes and take effective measures to ensure that ethnic minority children, including Roma, are fully integrated into the general education system (par. 27). Furthermore the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in its Concluding Observation of 2011 urged “the State party to strengthen its efforts to ensure that no child is deprived of the right to education in particular in the rural areas and among the disadvantaged and marginalized groups including the Roma, indigenous peoples, children with disabilities... to prevent children living in Chechnya and the Northern Caucasus from their voluntary recruitment into military units” (para. 32, 33).

These recommendations have not been fully implemented by the Russian Federation neither in legislation nor in practice. Despite the adoption of many laws that relate to the rights of children, the problem of discrimination of minority children has not been taken into account on a legislative level partly due to the lack of anti-discrimination laws in general.

In practice, the children of migrant workers, stateless persons, and ethnic minorities in Russia are among the most vulnerable groups subject to dual discrimination. There is no sufficient legal framework in place for protecting children from ethnic minorities and migrant children against discrimination. Government efforts in the area are of a formalistic nature and do not lead to concrete results.

For example, in 2013 the Russian government adopted a plan for socio-economic and cultural development of the Roma ethnic community, to contribute to the integration of Roma into the modern Russian community. The plan though, the plan is of a general nature and does not provide for concrete measures. For example it contains general clauses on support of “traditional occupations”, which does not help improve the situation with the Roma children and does not address the root causes of the problem, such as compulsory education for all Roma children and the system of support by social workers.

The children of migrants and stateless persons in Russia often are outside of any legal protection because their parents have no work or residence permits. Parents without documents are not able to obtain documents for their children and are afraid to apply to any state service because of the risk that their children will be taken away.
Minority children face discrimination at their places of living, at schools and when applying to social services. The conditions of living of minority children do not meet the minimum standards of living and have a negative impact on their development. Minority children often become victims of all kinds of violence. They suffer from domestic violence, violence by their peers and classmates. They are easy victims for planned racist attacks on the streets.

Furthermore, minority children often suffer from negative traditional practices that violate the rights of the child. Public authorities not only fail to take action against these practices, but their inactivity is often interpreted as a silent consent to such practices that interfere in the development of children. For example, the lack of state measures to enforce compulsory education of the Roma children in Russia contributes to preserve the negative tradition of early marriage, harmful to children. At the same time the so-called ‘patriotic education’ and traditional religious values are becoming more prominent component of the basic education system. For example, the Krasnodar province introduced a compulsory subject for the study of the Cossacks, a program that promotes traditional Orthodox Church values.

Reported crimes relating to the violence against minority children are left without effective investigation and investigative authorities are often failing to recognize ethnic hatred as a motive of the crime as it happened in the case of Islam Abduganiev, a 15 year old Tajik citizen who was killed in the street in disputable circumstance on 27 February 2013.

In sum, the new legal and institutional framework did not help improve the daily life of Russian children, who continue to live in poverty, deprived of access to basic social services such as education and healthcare and subject to discrimination in relation to their ethnic background.
VIOLATIONS OF THE RIGHTS OF CHILDREN BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES

Torture and ill treatment of minors by the representatives of law enforcement agencies persisted throughout the reporting period. In majority of the cases ill-treatment occurred in police detention or during the pre-trial proceedings. Ill treatment is often accompanied by the violation of procedural rights such as the right to be informed about the reasons of detention, the right to contact the family members, or the right to have unhindered meeting with the lawyer. Another particular trend which is visible throughout Russian regions is general discriminatory policies of the law enforcement bodies to which minorities, including minority children, fall victims.

Police officers frequently fail to determine immediately that the detained person is a minor, and do not consider special procedural guarantees applicable to minors. Russian law provides for administrative liability from the age of 16 (art. 2.3, Code of Administrative Procedure). Therefore, being under the age of 16 is the criterion according to which an administrative offence cannot be committed.

Unlawful detention of minors frequently occurs in circumstances when there is no illicit behaviour on their part. Thus, on 9 May 2012, several minors, some of them younger than 16, were detained as they were hanging out in one of the city’s squares in the city of Syktyvkar. On 7 January 2013, in Saint-Petersburg, two minors who were participating in a game of snowball fight, were detained and accused of destroying the lawns. More ruthless detention of three children aged 8, 12 and 15, took place on 25 January 2013, in the city of Berdsk (Novosibirsk Province). Three children were detained for playing a snowball fight in the courtyard of their house. The police officers threatened the children that they will be shot in the legs if they try to run from the police car, and in reply to the children’s requests to call their parents, the officers threatened them with handcuffs. Besides unjustifiably bringing the children to the police precinct and violating the right to a phone-call, by refusing their contact with the parents, the police also failed to document the incident.

Another similar incident took place in Moscow, on 1 November 2011, when 6-year-old I. Aksenov was brought to the precinct and interrogated about his father’s activity.

On January 22, 2012 15-year-old Nikita Leontyev was detained by a police officer and severely beaten in the police station in Saint-Petersburg. He died on the way to the hospital. The fact that such serious crime against a child can be committed in a police department, demonstrates the vulnerability of children against police abuse.

Mass detention of minors during public protests is also a frequent phenomenon. Minors are being detained without a valid reason during public events in the framework of repressive measures against activists. The parents of the minors A. Kolodyazhnaya and K. Lisnyak, approached ADC “Memorial” for assistance reporting that the rights of their children were violated as they were detained during a mass event that took place on 1 May 2011.

In December 2011, during a mass protest in Saint-Petersburg, minors, including 14-year-old A. Krylov, who did not take part in the event but was returning home from music lessons, were de-
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In February 2012, two minors were arrested during their participation in the peaceful demonstration near the “Gostiniy Dvor” metro station. In the mentioned cases, the detentions were executed with violations of the right to freedom and security of the person. Among the detainees there were a significant number of minors, including children younger than 16, who were brought to the police precinct and were held there for several hours, without the police making any report on detention or registering them upon arrival to the police station. None of the minors were allowed to contact their legal representatives.

In some cases the police send information about the detention of the minors, to schools. This practice leads to negative consequences for the children. Moreover, the shame of having issues at school is used as a tool for the repression of expression of opinion by minors. Such repression is exclusively directed towards limiting their acts of expression in the future as being illegal and unreasonable, especially in relation to political issues and views. This leads to the violation of the right to freedom of expression protected by the Article 12 of the Convention.

One of the cases, where the opinion of the minors should and could have been considered, is the adoption of the law on “curfew” in 2009. The children were deprived of the possibility to express their opinion regarding issues that directly affect their interests. When several teenagers showed up at a public event, dedicated to the introduction of the curfew, the organiser of the event was accused of extremism, allegedly based on the fact that he was conducting instigation of the minors, even though there were no slogans or actions during the event which had instigative character. This suggests that minors cannot take part, under any circumstances, in any public event, concerning any issue directly affecting their interests.

In Saint-Petersburg, there is a practice of school checks performed by the organs of the Federal Security Bureau (FSB). In particular, in one of the schools after views in favour of monarchism surfaced, there was a ‘discussion’ with the teachers and the students, for the purpose of explaining to the children that praising the tsarist regime should be avoided not only in school but also outside it. This type of actions, combined with the imposition of certain ideology, such as patriotism and orthodoxy violate the right to freedom of expression of minors.

Roma children often suffer from abuses by the police, including illegal detentions and searches. During the frequent anti-Roma campaigns in the compact Roma settlements all over Russia, all children from the settlement suffer from the unlawful actions of the police. The persecution of the settlements with Roma-migrants is frequently done under the framework of Federal Migration Service raids and police special operations with characteristic names such as “Migrant” or “Illegal migrant”. They are accompanied by destruction of property of the Roma-Mugat people. For example, in the summer of 2009, immediately after a visit by law enforcement officers, the camp of Tajik Roma-migrants, situated in the Gorelovo village (outskirt of St.Petersburg), was burned by unknown individuals. A criminal case was not opened due to the “absence of complaints from the victims”.

Another example is the organisation by law enforcement agencies of an anti-Roma campaign in Bryansk (Central Russia) in March 2012, when the entire Roma population of the city fell under suspicion regarding the disappearance of a 9-month-old girl, an incident widely publicised in the local and federal media. A harsh campaign of operational-investigative actions was initiated against the Roma. Between 19 and 23 March 2012, ADC “Memorial” staff members who were in Bryansk Province conducting human rights monitoring, provided legal assistance to the Roma population and witnessed the actions of the police officials. The residents of the Roma settlement, including children, were primarily targeted. Squads of police surrounded Roma homes, entered without warrants, and conducted searches with specially trained dogs, causing fright among the children. All children were photographed. The operation continued for four hours. On 22 March 2012, additional searches were conducted in another compact settlement in Bryansk Province, located in the village of Timonovka. According to the Roma,
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permission to search had only been granted in respect of one home, however all homes were checked. Thus, procedural actions toward Roma took place without legal grounds and led to gross violations of the community members’ rights.

On 7 February 2013, a settlement of Tajik Roma-migrants “was discovered” in the same Gorelovo village in St.Petersburg during a police raid. According to media reports, 16 adults and more than 28 Mughal children were detained. The children were initially brought to hospitals with suspected helminthiasis and other infectious diseases, and then they were transferred to the orphanage “Tranzit” (17 persons). Their parents were fined for violating the migration laws and were eventually released. In spite of the parents requests to have their children returned, according to the existing procedures they have to be repatriated to their country of origin – Tajikistan, under the supervision of the national guardianship organs and their relatives.

Ilona Fontosh, born in 1995, an undocumented Roma migrant from the Trans-Carpathian region of Ukraine, lived in a Magyar Roma settlement (it is a Roma community speaking Hungarian). On 16 February 2009 she was detained by police officers on suspicion of theft of a mobile phone with the value of 1400 RUB, together with a group of 13 Magyar girls. The mobile phone was returned to the owner, and Ilona Fontosh became the subject of a criminal investigation. Due to her lack of relevant identification documents and her inability to speak or understand Russian, Ilona fearing being transferred to the shelter for minors “Tranzit”, identified herself as Dierdi Malvina Aleksandrovna, born in 1990. At the time of the incident Ilona was seven months pregnant. The police, without making an effort to determine her age (14), believed that she was an adult. Eventually she was sentenced to deprivation of liberty for theft. In total, Ilona Fontosh spent 5 months and 7 days in the Women’s Detention Centre No 5 in the city of Saint-Petersburg, where she was sent without any medical examination. The criminal case against her was investigated 5 months and 12 days and was eventually terminated.

On February 8, 2013, violations against children were committed during the raid against migrants in Apraksin Dvor in St. Petersburg. The raid took place during the worship in the mosque with the mass presence of the believers. Hundreds of migrants were subject to document checks, physical abuse and detention in search of ‘extremists’. One of the victims applied to ADC “Memorial” with complaints that the law-enforcement officials who raided the mosque, where he prayed with his children, beat him and his older sons in the presence of his youngest 10-year-old son, who consequently got psychologically traumatised.

The cases of unlawful detention of foreign underage citizens in the absence of personal documents) is another common practice. ADC “Memorial” is repeatedly approached by relatives of foreign underage citizens, with complaints about unlawful detention, or detention in conditions not corresponding to recognised international standards applicable to minors.

Zhahongir Ermatov, born in 1995, citizen of Uzbekistan, was detained on 27 October 2012 for three days in the police station No 45(Rybatzky Prospekt, Nevsky district of St.Petersburg). Police failed to document the detention and the legal representatives of the minor were not contacted. Ermatov was detained on the grounds of breaking the migration law (expiration of registration period) and was transferred to a detention centre for minors, after spending three days in the police station, in conditions, which were recognised by the ECHR as inhumane and degrading. This happened with the police’s awareness that they were dealing with a minor.

Maruf Abdudjalilov, a citizen of Tajikistan born in 1994, was detained by the police officers of precinct 45 in the Nevsky District, Saint-Petersburg, on 9 November 2012. A police report was not compiled, and the document confirming the identity of the foreign citizen (a copy of the passport) was destroyed by the officers of the precinct 45. The representative of ADC “Memorial” was informed by an officer on duty that the foreign minor will be sent to the temporary detention centre for juvenile

---

12 On the information from the ADC “Memorial”’s staff.
13 http://www.delper.ru/newspoint_283.html
14 The information about the case- in the ADC “Memorial”’s data-base.
offenders. After the representative of ADC “Memorial” addressed the Central Dispatch Center of the Ministry of Internal Affairs (MIA) Maruf Abdudjalilov was released from the precinct 45 without any charges.

Didor Nazarmamadov, a Tajik citizen born in 1996, was detained by the police officers of the Krasnogorsk district of St.Petersburg, on 3 November 2012 in relation to the expiry of his registration. Nazarmamadov was sent to the temporary detention centre for juvenile offenders of the main department of MIA without a court decision. He spent 3 out of 8 days in detention in solitary confinement due to quarantine. According to Nazarmamadov and his lawyer detention conditions at the centre did not meet minimum standards of detention. The only furniture in the cell was three beds. The window of the room was barred and covered up. The beddings, mattresses and the covers were old and in poor condition. The light was on only until 9pm; Nazarmamadov could not turn on the light himself, since the light-switch was on the outside of the cell where it could be turned off only by the guards. There was no drinking water in the cell. A sink with only cold water was in another room, as well as the toilet – going to the bathroom was only possible after calling the guards. During three days spent in solitary confinement, he had no access to a bathroom or a shower. Didor’s relatives were not allowed to see him, being told that visits were not allowed. He was taken out for a walk only once for 15 minutes. The food was of very poor quality, and in very little quantities. For example, lunch consisted only of a piece of black bread, a cup of tea and milk porridge. Nazarmamadov was held in complete informational isolation for three days, since books, magazines, newspapers were not provided, and the cell did not contain a TV or radio. There was a TV in the larger room, where he was transferred after the three-day quarantine.
HATE CRIMES AGAINST MINORITY CHILDREN AND LACK OF ADEQUATE GOVERNMENT RESPONSE

Violence against children on grounds of national hatred continues to be one of the predominant problems of Russian society. The targets of the violence are children of national minorities as well as minors, thought to be part of the anti-fascist and anti-racist movement. In most cases the victims of the attacks are identified by their appearance, such as the colour of their skin, the shape of their eyes, etc. In the attacks 'by association', the victims are chosen due to belonging to a certain subcultures easily determined by elements of their appearance, such as a certain clothing style, haircut, piercings or by certain type of entertainment for the environment dominated by anti-fascist or anti-racist views.

As grani.ru, a Russian web based new agency reported Darya Bolina, the daughter of Enets activist Aleksey Bolin, was attacked on 9 February 2013. On the evening of the same day, teenagers appeared at the activist’s house and started breaking into his apartment. They beat the door with their fists and feet, shouting ‘I’m a Slav’ and gesturing with their hands in the Nazi salute. When Bolin opened the door, the visitors requested that he give up his daughter to them for punishment. In this case, the reason for aggression was the origin of the girl, which was clearly visible by her distinctive signs to be belonging to the minority indigenous people of the North. There have been many other attacks of similar character in Russia since the beginning of 2000.

The investigation concerning the notorious case of the Nazi group Borovikov-Voevodin, who were responsible for the deaths of several minors, was recently completed. According to the evidence, one of the victims, 9-year-old Hursheda Sultanova, was killed on 9 February 2004. The girl died due to 11 stab wounds.

In September 2003, near the Dachnoye platform in Saint-Petersburg, unknown individuals attacked two Roma women and their children. 6-year-old Nilufar Sangboeva died as a result of a skull fracture, open fracture of the parietal bone and closed fracture of the ribs.

In March 2006, Lilian Sissoko, a 9-year-old mulatto girl, was viciously attacked in Saint-Petersburg. Following her inside the building as the girl was returning home, the attackers accosted her on the staircase and stabbed her several times in the neck. Thanks to the quick intervention of the parents and an ambulance Lilian was rescued.

As noted, the attacks are not performed only on persons with obvious signs of belonging to a certain nationality, but also on young people who, by their appearance, are thought to be part of sub-cultures with anti-fascist and anti-racists views.

On 14 February 2009, an immigrant from Dagestan, Tagir Kerimov, was attacked with his friend, near the school, by a group of 25-30 boys. Beating up the teenager, the attackers were calling out - ‘murder to Khach people’ (Khach is a term commonly used to negatively classify members of ethnic and cultural groups of the Caucasian peoples). According to witness accounts, several of the boys were dressed as skin-heads. Tagir became an invalid as a result of the attack.

On 31 March 2007, as a result of an attack by a Nazi group using iron fixtures, glass bottles and bladed weapon 17-year-old Stanislav Korepanov died in Izhevsk. On 27 March, Stanislav was brought to the neurosurgical department (МСЧ) No 17 with a diagnosis of open head trauma, brain contu-
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sion, basal skull fracture, contused wounds of the parietal and occipital regions of the head, and final-stage coma, Stanislav died after four days without regaining consciousness. On the day of the attack, Stanislav was with his skateboarding friends, against whom the crime was intended.

On 2 August 2010, a Nazi group under the leadership of Evald Raatz (previously convicted for brutal murder), attacked a teenager in the neighbourhood of Lenin street in Omsk. Brutally beating the victim, the attackers, dissatisfied with the result, ripped out the teenager’s piercing and burnt his hair. In this case, the reason for the attack most probably was the unconventional appearance of the young man, who had piercings and long hair.

In the case of the murder of 9-year-old Hursheda Sultanova, the court acquitted the main defendant, and in the case of 6-year-old Nilufar Sangboeva, a few years after the investigation was closed, new circumstances were found, which created doubt regarding the results of the original investigation and the guilt of the convict. The attack on the daughter of Aleksey Bolin is another demonstration of government inactivity, where law enforcement authorities did not even initiate a criminal case. Such attitude reinforces the feeling of impunity and creates welcoming environment for the future recurrence of similar crimes.

The law enforcement representatives regularly fail to recognize hatred as the real reason behind these crimes. In the case of Tagir Kerimov, the victim’s lawyer tried to prove this by conducting examinations and asking the experts to explain the expression ‘beat the Khach’, which has been perceived as an evident instigation for violence against migrants from the Southern regions or from Asian countries. The expert did not find the hate on the national ground in such expression as “beat the Khach”, “beat the Blackes”. Similarly, in the case of Korepanov, the court did not take into account the circumstances brought forward by the victim’s representative, and the killers were convicted for murder and hooliganism, although the motive of the attack was evident.

NEGATIVE TRADITIONAL PRACTICES INVOLVING VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN

Under Article 24 (3) of the Convention State Parties shall take all effective and appropriate measures with a view to abolishing traditional practices prejudicial to the health of children. Traditional practices are defined as reflecting values and views, adopted by a certain social group across generations, and maintained since they represent an integral part of the identity of the members of that social group, are not questioned by them and those who abide them and are considered highly moral.

Obligations of the Russian Federation steaming from the Convention also include ‘taking all appropriate legislative, administrative, social and educational measures to protect the child from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse, while in the care of parent(s), legal guardian(s)’ and the necessity to elaborate measures that shall ‘include effective procedures for the establishment of social programmes to provide necessary support for the child and for those who have the care of the child, as well as for other forms of prevention and for identification, reporting, referral, investigation, treatment and follow-up of instances of child maltreatment and, as appropriate, for judicial involvement’.

While efforts undertaken by the authorities with the view of protecting children from negative traditional practices and ensuring the best interests of the child are not sufficient or as it is the case of many Russian regions entirely absent, the use of some traditional practices could lead to a violation of the child’s right to life, non-discrimination, health, human dignity and personal integrity, education, leisure and recreation.

Many of the traditional practices that are upheld by certain minority groups in Russia have a particularly negative influence on the rights of women and children. Some of these practices include: favouring boys to girls, early marriages and pregnancies legitimised through religion, strict gender prejudices, preservation of the custom regarding blood feuds and bride kidnapping, honour crimes and violence against girls and women.

In Caucasian communities, men have traditionally higher status than women, and are entitled to ‘control’ the behaviour of younger female relatives. Girls, especially underage girls, are expected to follow traditional and religious norms of conduct, dominating all areas of their personal and social lives. Their clothing, appearance, occupations, manners and behaviour in public, especially in communicating with the opposite sex, are strictly regulated; any deviation from either religious or traditional prescriptions (regarding clothing, behaviour, etc.) are severely criticised by the community and cruel punishments for such deviations are encouraged.

The tradition of blood feuds and gender subordination, on one side, and Islamic propaganda, on the other side, lead to an increase in the number of early marriages and honour crimes in certain regions of Russian Federation. More and more women and children become victims of such practices, fact which attracts the condemnation of international and Russian human rights organisations.

Federal and local authorities do not pay sufficient attention to solving the problem, considering the compliance with common law and respect for the religion of ethnic groups as a priority. Thus, the propaganda directed against early marriages expanded by Chechen leadership, shifts its focus on the instability of early marriages which often end in divorce, while the focus should be on their criminal character caused by physical abuse of children. The main goals of special tutorials, carried out in Chechen schools, are “formation of knowledge and views about the responsibility of youth in creating a family during adolescence”, as well as “diminishing the number of divorces which occur due to hasty
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and impulsive marriages and decrease of infant mortality”. Meanwhile, religious leaders and official authorities continue the policy of introducing Islamic forms of etiquette as mandatory for underage girls, hence, condoning “honour crimes”. At federal level no actions are taken for counteracting negative traditional practices, and separate cases of violations of rights and interests of the child, including bride kidnapping, do not receive any attention from the authorities. Such conflicts are exclusively solved by traditional leaders of the communities, without the involvement of guardianship agencies and other government bodies. Therefore, it can be said that the authorities of the Russian Federation, practically, recognise the right to survival as a negative traditional practice, ignoring the necessity of providing appropriate care and protection of the interests of the child.

Women and girls in Chechnya for example are forced to wear Islamic clothing with long sleeves and skirts, and to cover their heads with scarves. By the order of the Chechen leader Ramzan Kadyrov, the Islamic dress-code for women is established as mandatory in all government institutions, including schools, universities and public places. Human Rights Watch drew attention to the increasing cases of persecution, public humiliation and even attacks by Islamic and youth activists on Chechen girls, dressed against the traditional “standards”. Thus, in the summer of 2010, in the centre of city Grozny, girls not wearing scarves on their heads, were being shot from a paintball gun, while some of the shooters were actually officers of law enforcement agencies. The reaction of the Chechen president R. Kadyrov, to such incidents is revealing: “Even if this was done with my approval, I would not feel ashamed. It seems that the girls, before being shot, were warned several times. After such cases the girl must simply disappear from the face of the earth, lock herself in her house and not go out anywhere, since she behaved herself in such an inappropriate manner.”

Girls, including underage girls, in the republics of North Caucasus, increasingly become victims of “honour crimes” – violent acts against “offenders of morals” guilty of “shaming the honour” of the family. Any girl can be a victim, if her behaviour or appearance is considered by the members of the community as objectionable and deserving punishment in the form of humiliation, beatings and even killings. In this case, the guilt is placed on the victim and there are no effective measures taken for the punishment of the perpetrators.

The bodies of six women, shot dead from a Kalashnikov rifle and a Makarov pistol, were found in the Chechen Republic in November 2008. As the Human Rights Commissioner of the Chechen Republic, Nurdi Nuhazhiev stated, “unfortunately, we have women who are starting to forget the code of conduct. In regard to such women, their male relatives, considering themselves insulted by such behaviour, naturally perform lynch trials.”

According to HRW, such statements from persons in high positions, including R. Kadyrov, “encourage brutal violence of this kind, which is equal to open instigation to physical harassment and public humiliation of women.”

Often, the “disgraceful behaviour” becomes the reason for “blood feuds”, in which children are involved. In August 2012, ADC “Memorial” was approached by the mother of 16-year-old Zainap, native of the village Novyi Kumuh, Buinakski Region of the Dagestan Republic. Since she was 12-years-old, Zainap was being blackmailed for years by another teenager, Azamat Aliev, who threatened her with spreading montaged photographs of pornographic character. In return of not making such photographs public Aliev asked for money. When Zainap's parents found out about the story, there was a fight which lead to death of both Zainap's and Azamat Aliev's fathers. Despite the fact that the tragedy occurred by the fault of the blackmailer-boy, the population of the village accused Zainap of “vicious behaviour” and placed the guilt for the bloodshed on her shoulders. Zainap and her mother received continuous threats, which forced them to leave their home village and to ask for refuge in another place. Zainap's life is constantly in danger even in other regions of the country; she can at any moment become victim of revenge and be lynched. “My life and the life of my sons became unbearable in our home. Neighbours and even relatives blame us that we raised such a bad daughter, and we were forced to leave” told Zeinap's mother to ADC “Memorial”.
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The UN Human Rights Committee also expressed its concern in relation to increasing early marriages in the Caucasus, performed through the will of the parents. Early marriages are concluded by using the Muslim rite "nicoh", after which the children are considered man and wife, against Russian legislation. According to Sharia law, the marriage age for girls starts from 13-14 years-old. Early marriages lead to complications during birth, and unwanted pregnancies often end up in illegal abortions.

Based on the accounts of the women’s rights organisation “Sintem”, based in Grozny, early marriages with the average age of the spouses between 15-16 years-old are commonplace in Chechnya. Such early marriages normally lead to girls abandoning their education. According to the statements of Leila Razzaeva, Head of the Maternity Department of the Ministry of Healthcare of the Chechen Republic, 120 births have been recorded to patients younger than 17 during 2012 in the central maternity ward of the Grozny. 61 out of 120 births resulted in severe complications. The lethal outcome of such birth-givers is 5-8 times higher compared to adult women. Young mothers more often experience toxicosis, anaemia, suffer miscarriages, premature births which often lead to the birth of dead infants. The low weight of new-borns and other negative indicators are the main reasons of subsequent problems such as mental deficiencies of the children, cerebral paralysis, epilepsy, neurological diseases. As a result, more than half of young mothers try to terminate unwanted pregnancies.\textsuperscript{22}

Another widely spread adverse traditional practice of the Caucasus people is the custom of ‘bride kidnapping’. Despite the fact that the ‘kidnapping’ of a girl by a young man can take place with the prior consent of the girl, cases of forced kidnappings of underage girls with the purpose of ‘marriage’ are becoming more frequent in the regions of North-Caucasus as well as in other regions of Russia including large cities.

On 19 February 2013, in the Frunzensky district of Saint-Petersburg, a massive fight took place between immigrants from Azerbaijan, representing three belligerent families. The cause of the conflict was the kidnapping of a 16-year-old girl, with the purpose of marriage, by a representative of one of the families. The parents of the girls spoke against the kidnappers. As a result of the conflict, at least 5 people were wounded, and 28 people were detained.\textsuperscript{23}

Authorities ignore such conflicts, leaving the dealings to the elders and leaders of the Diasporas. According to traditions, the opinion of children and the necessity to respect their rights are not considered.

On 24 February 2013, elders from the Shamkir region of Azerbaijan arrived with the purpose of settling the case of kidnapping of the 16-year-old ‘bride’ in Saint-Petersburg. Through mediation by the general consul of Azerbaijan in Saint-Petersburg and the administration of the national-cultural autonomy of Azerbaijan, the elders reconciled the warring families, ruling that the 16-year-old bride must get married to her kidnapper. A particularly worrisome aspect of the situation is the inactivity of the child rights authorities of Saint-Petersburg who did not react in any way to the case.\textsuperscript{24}

In Roma communities, there are also traditional practices that influence negatively the rights of the children, and the maintenance of which contributes to the unfavourable socio-economic situation and discrimination of Roma.

One of such practices is the tradition of early marriages which are concluded between 11 and 17 years-old and which are still sustained. The bride is chosen by the parents of the groom, with the young people not even knowing each other. After the wedding, the children usually leave school since it is considered inappropriate for a married man/woman to go to school. Thus the education of the majority of the inhabitants of the tabor is limited to 4-7 years and very few consider pursuing a higher education. Boys are forced to participate in the collection and sale of scrap metal, or they take up heavy construction work or other similar occupations. The lack of government efforts about the mandatory education of Roma children, contributes to the maintenance of these traditions.
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The influence of negative traditional practices on children is worsened by the double discrimination and even more difficult socio-economic conditions of the Roma-Magyars from Ukraine and Roma-Mugat from Tajikistan. Girls and boys in these groups do not attend school and are forced to lead a traditional life. Boys and young men have to collect scrap metal, and are compelled to go begging. Early marriages and early pregnancies are also widespread.

Measures for the prevention of negative traditional practices and for the provision of the Roma children with access to education and healthcare are rarely taken or have an exclusively repressive character (raids, quarantines, etc.). Social work in compact Roma settlements is not carried out, while statements about early marriages and failure to attend school by the Roma children are ignored by the social services. The federal action plan for Roma, adopted at the beginning of 2013, the text of which is known only through statements of media outlets does not contain any provisions on countering negative traditional practices.

As a consequence of the extremely unfavourable social situation and the traditional patriarchal system, children of the Roma-Magyar people fall victims of constant domestic abuse that leads to serious body harm and fatal outcomes. For example, around 21 January 2013, a 1.5-year-old boy named Maxim passed away in a settlement of Roma-Magyar people in the Nevsky district of Saint-Petersburg. Maxim's mother, 33-year-old Kristina Sabova, was arrested in December for pocket theft. Her friend, 22-year-old Zhanna Lakatosh was left to take care of her child. She was noticed to be mistreating the boy. According to the settlement inhabitants she beat Maxim and left him out in the freezing cold. Several days before his death, as the members of the tabor said to police, Maxim was walking like a 'drunk'. Lakatosh by that time has already left the settlement. She was arrested for theft on 18 January 2013. There was a criminal investigation initiated in relation to the murder. During the police raid, the Magyar settlement was burnt, the adults were brought to the office of the Federal Migration Service, and 6 children were sent to hospitals and shelters. First Zhanna Lakatosh was accused in the murder, but new circumstances has been revealed in the case, and almost a year later the witnesses changed their evidences and accused another man from the settlement. In the opinion of advocates of Zhanna Lakatosh and the boy A., who is a witness of the murder, the investigation from the very beginning was carried out inappropriately, the expertise and the evidences of several witnesses arose a lot of questions.25

---

25 http://lenta.ru/articles/2013/12/12/tabor/
FORCED SEPARATION OF CHILDREN FROM THEIR PARENTS

The Roma are not only confronted with the lack of support or social assistance for their children and mothers, but also with discrimination, directed towards the dissolution of their families. The discrimination starts from the moment when a child is born in a hospital. Often, the mother, not having personal identification documents, or only having a non-Russian passport, is discharged from the hospital already after 2-3 days, being prevented from taking her child with her. As a consequence, the mother cannot take her child who is eventually transferred to a special institution – “Dom Malyutki” – for eventual adoption. Maria Fontosh, Anastasia Ivanova, Sharlotta Tovt and many others have been victims of this practice, not knowing the fate of their own children. Although there is a possibility for mothers without identification documents to receive their children, according to the Roma-Magyars, the release of the child from hospital No 16 in Saint-Petersburg, costs 8,000 Roubles. More often the Roma-migrants do not have the ability to legally earn such amounts of money; in such cases, there are always wealthy people willing to adopt the new-born ‘orphan’.

In those cases when the Magyars succeed to reunite their families, they face difficulties to obtain a certificate of birth for the child, especially if the parents themselves do not have all the required documents in order. The only document that the hospital issues in regard to the birth is a certificate regarding the sex of the baby and his or her weight and height. This way, the children of Roma-Magyars acquire illegal status from the first months of their life. Undocumented children are in constant danger of being separated from their parents during police raids. For example, in March 2010, during the demolition of the Roma-Magyar camp in the Moscow suburbs, 44 children were separated from their parents and sent off to different hospitals and special centres.

In North-Western Russia, the undocumented migrant children are placed in the government institution “Tranzit”, which is situated in Saint-Petersburg and specialises on working with migrant children, orphans, and children from vulnerable families, separated from their parents, and those who are unregistered at the place of residence of their parents. Despite the fact that one of the goals of the shelter is “to facilitate the return of the child to their parents or their legal guardians”, the child, being in “Tranzit” without documents, who officially does not have parents or has parents who are stateless persons, will be sent to a Russian orphanage or deported to the country of origin, if such can be established, or ends up in a social institution.

The fear to lose their children forever, experienced by the Roma-migrants, is the pressure point used by the police officers and the officers of the Federal Migration Service, who use the children as hostages. At the beginning of 2009, children of undocumented Roma-migrants were detained by law enforcement agents. The parents were forced to come to the precinct to take their children, but were eventually accused of breaching the migration laws, arrested and transferred to a detention centre where they spent more than a year. This is another example of how the government agencies, tasked to provide security and support to families regardless of their citizenship, put the Roma-migrants live under constant threat of separation from their closest relatives.

According to the information gathered by ADC “Memorial”, as well as according to communications from guardianship agencies and workers of the “Tranzit” shelter, settlements of Mugat-migrants from Tajikistan and Magyar-migrants from Ukraine, have been existing around Saint-Petersburg for 10-15 years. During this time the guardianship agencies in Saint-Petersburg - the Saint-Petersburg Committee on Social Policy, and the Saint-Petersburg Committee on Education, did not take measures for the provision of the right to adequate standards of living in violation of Article 3 (2) of the Convention, which states that ‘State Parties undertake to ensure the child such protection and care as is necessary for his or her well-being, taking into account the rights and duties of his or her parents, legal guardians, or other individuals legally responsible for him or her; and, to this end, shall take all appropriate legislative and administrative measures’ and which applies to all children, ‘within their jurisdiction without
discrimination of any kind, irrespective of the child’s or his or her parent’s or legal guardian’s race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national, ethnic or social origin, property, disability, birth or other status. According to Article 6 paragraph 2 of the Convention, the State, ‘shall ensure to the maximum extent possible the survival and development of the child’.

The practice of deportation of Mugat and Magyar children back to their country of origin cannot be considered as an effective measure for the protection of their right to adequate standards of living and social guarantees, due to the absence of such appropriate living conditions in the countries of origin, including exceptionally high unemployment, lack of social benefits, and poor health conditions. Thus, almost half of the Trans-Carpathian Roma according to the data of the State Statistics Committee of Ukraine is suffering from bronchial-pulmonary diseases. One fifth suffers from gastro-intestinal diseases, and 16% suffer from tuberculosis. The mortality rate among infants aged less than one is 11%, and the unemployment level in the Roma settlements fluctuates between 69% and 100%. According to the data of the human rights organisation “Nashe Pravo”, in the Osh Province of Kyrgyz Republic, there are more than 3,500 Roma-Mugat, and the level of unemployment among them has reached 90%, while 50% of the families of Central Asian Roma do not receive social benefits due to the absence of personal documents. This data indicates comparably difficult situation for all Roma-Mugat even in their countries of origin such as Tajikistan and Uzbekistan.

In order to rectify the above described situation the authorities of the Russian Federation must undertake to protect the rights of children of Roma-migrants from CIS countries, with the purpose to fulfilling its obligations steaming from the ratification of the Convention.
ADEQUATE STANDARD OF LIVING OF MINORITY CHILDREN IN RUSSIA

According to the Article 6 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, the ‘State Party shall ensure to the maximum extent possible the survival and development of the child’.

The right to an adequate standard of living, which includes a number of basic conditions necessary for human existence, entails derived rights such as the right to adequate housing, the right to social security, and the right to safe and clean drinking water and sanitation. Rights in the area of health care, such as access to medical assistance, free emergency medical assistance, and the right to sanitation are also guaranteed by international law and are particularly important for children, living in poor conditions. These rights are enshrined in the International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights.

In its last round of Concluding Observations with respect of the Russian Federation the Committee has already expressed its concern that poor living conditions seriously limit children’s enjoyment of their rights in the family, in schools, and in peer and cultural activities.

Nevertheless, minority children remain to be one of the most vulnerable groups of Russian society. The vast majority of Roma children and children of labor migrants in Russia grow up and live in an environment that does not meet the adequate standards of living, putting Russia in violation of its international obligations, steaming, inter alia, from the International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights.

Children of migrant workers often live with their parents in places that do not meet the adequate standards of living. Moreover, since migrant workers often work seven days a week with unacceptable overtime working hours, their children are deprived of parental attention. The fact that children of migrant workers often do not attend school at all, combined with the unacceptable living conditions, result in an extremely negative impact on the development of these children and their integration into society.

Migrant workers, especially families with children, often cannot find accommodation, due to high prices and the unwillingness of landlords to rent their property to migrants, particularly to those who do not have the appropriate documentation. As a result, migrant families are forced to live in places not designed for living, especially for living with children. Companies, who are happy to employ cheap migrant labor, and to save on their accommodation, are often facilitating this process.

ADC “Memorial” has documented such case of families of migrant workers from Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, who live in the Nevsky district of Saint-Petersburg with children aged between 4 and 17, in evacuated houses deemed unsafe for living. Since the houses are evacuated they have been also disconnected from utilities, therefore the children do not have access to clean drinking water, heating or electricity. The food is cooked on fire. The state of the housing is unsafe the floors and the ceilings are at risk of crashing at any moment and as such they are not fit for living. These conditions are life-threatening and do not correspond to established sanitary regulations.

The extreme poverty in which migrant families live leads to the undernourishment of their children, negatively impacting their health and contributing to the occurrence and spreading of life-threatening diseases.

At the same time, getting access to medical assistance for migrant children is extremely difficult. As testified by the migrants, even in the case of emergency medical assistance, which by the laws of the Russian Federation should be accessible free of charge to everyone, including the foreigners, doctors usually refuse to make home visits to patients who live in evacuated homes. Practically, medical assistance for migrant children is only provided for a certain fee, which usually exceeds the total income of migrant workers.
It is common that migrant children do not attend school and, since migrant workers often work overtime, without having brakes during the weekends, their children are exposed to precarious surroundings without supervision, or under the supervision of older children.

Frequent raids carried out in such homes by the officers of the Federal Migration Service and Ministry of Internal Affairs, create an atmosphere of fear and negatively influence the psychological state of the children, who cannot feel safe at home. Furthermore, the children are deprived of information since they do not have radio, television, books or access to internet in their homes.

An alternative housing option for migrant families is the self-made houses outside the cities, the living conditions of which are also utterly inappropriate for children. For example, ADC Memorial's activists discovered in October 2012 an industrial area on the boundaries of Saint-Petersburg that hosts a small settlement of Uzbek citizens originating from Khorezm city. On an area of 60 m², the Uzbek residents built several small huts out of wooden beams, plywood, iron sheets and other scrap materials. For maintaining heat during the winter period, small self-made stoves were installed inside the huts. Such stoves, due to their high level of fire-hazard, are extremely dangerous for the life of the children, since the children are left alone in these huts for entire days without adult supervision. Each of these huts is inhabited by one or two families with children. There is no sewage or water supply system in these ‘houses’. The electricity is wired by the residents themselves, without prior permission from the power supply companies, which increases the danger of power failure, short circuits, etc. The families use water from the water supply of a nearby construction site for drinking, cooking and for personal hygiene. One of the main issues of this settlement, as in the case of the Magyar-Roma settlements, is the organization of garbage pits. The household waste is thrown out directly on the street and with time the entire area around the huts is scattered with garbage that is never collected. Moreover, as in the case of the Magyar-Roma, in case of emergency, ambulances cannot reach these settlements, due to their remote location and difficult accessibility. The four children living in this settlement are practically isolated from the rest of the world. They do not attend school or kindergarten since neither they nor their parents have the papers for temporary registration or the necessary financial means. Thus, the deplorable domestic, sanitary and socio-economic living conditions of these children are affecting their lives much more than the lives of the adults.

**LIVING CONDITIONS OF CENTRAL ASIAN ROMA (MUGAT) CHILDREN**

The living conditions of Mugat-migrants do not correspond to elementary sanitary norms and requirements for security and hygiene. In Mugat settlements, which usually have several dozens inhabitants, there is no water supply, heating or electricity. Improvised settlements are spread on the boundaries of big towns, near household waste dumps, forest strips, industrial areas, where there is practically no infrastructure water supply, electricity and sewage system.

The houses of the Roma-migrants, usually look like square buildings made out of plywood and oilcloth, with the length of about 5-6 meters and width of 2-3 meters, and are often equipped with handmade iron stoves. Roma-Mugat families as a rule have many children.

According to the report of the police captain E.S. Yunina, dated 12 February 2013, the family of Tajik Roma-Mugat, living in the Volodarka village, Saint-Petersburg, has 13 underage children, aged between 1 month and 16 years-old. The family lives in a shed with the total surface of 18 square meters, divided into three rooms, and heated by a handmade stove situated in the middle of the dwelling. Sanitary situation of the space is deemed "extremely unsatisfactory"; it does not contain sanitary facilities or furniture. The children are forced to sleep on the ground. Moreover, the place does not have access to natural light.

Regular nutrition of the Central Asian Roma-migrants is very low in calories, and is characterized by the low quality of products. Frequently the food is made out of the waste found in the waste dumps. In such conditions, the spreading of diseases such as tuberculosis, hepatitis, intestinal disorders, and helminthiasis often reaches the stage of an epidemic affecting virtually all inhabitants of the settlement.
LIVING CONDITIONS OF ROMA-MAGYAR CHILDREN

One of the largest Roma-Magyar settlements is situated in the industrial area on the outskirts of Saint-Petersburg. It borders the Saint-Petersburg-Moscow railway line and the household waste dump.

Small huts made out of plywood polyethylene, linoleum, iron sheets and other scrap materials are lined up at a distance of 0.5-2 meters from each other. Each of these ‘buildings’ is inhabited by between 2 and 10 people. Household amenities such as water and electricity supply, heating, or sewage are absent. For the household needs, cooking and drinking they use the water from a broken pipe on the territory of an unsanctioned waste dump; for personal hygiene the inhabitants use the swamp water.

An important issue is the organization of garbage and waste pits. Often the household garbage is simply thrown out of the hut into the street, and the area of the settlement quickly gets filled with waste.

Small children play and go to the toilet in the same place while adults go away from the settlement for a few meters in the direction of the swamp to satisfy physiological needs. Littering also occurs due to the regular raids, accompanied by destruction of the huts and the property of the Magyar, right on the territory of their settlement.

The Magyar-migrants eat rarely and irregularly, at best 1-2 times per day. Their homes are heated by handmade stoves, which during the winter time, are constantly on. Usually the fire is made with household plastic, rubber tires, plywood, etc. The food is cooked also on these stoves. During the summer cooking takes place outside the huts. The problem of fire safety is acute for the camp, especially during the winter, when the fire needs to be maintained day and night. Repeatedly, as a result of fires families become homeless.

The basis for the ration consists of the cheapest semi-finished products, as well as herring, potatoes and bread. An important problem for the Magyar is inability to purchase products for the children including nursing babies who practically consume the same food as the adults.
ACCESS TO EDUCATION FOR MINORITY CHILDREN

Art. 28 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child provides the right of the child to education, including free primary education for all, measures to encourage regular attendance at schools and all appropriate measures to ensure that school discipline is administered in a manner consistent with the child’s human dignity. The Committee recommended Russian Federation to take the necessary measures to ensure that all children have access to primary and secondary education, strengthen efforts to bridge the racial disparity in education, giving special attention to promoting education of minority-language people.

The Constitution of the Russian Federation guarantees the right to education as a right of everyone, but there are the groups of children who have difficulties with access to education for various reasons. The Roma children from the compact Roma settlements face segregation and low level of primary education and generally have no access to secondary education. Children of migrants or stateless persons often do not have access to primary and secondary education due to the migration laws and applicable administrative procedures.

Monitoring held by ADC “Memorial” has shown that the segregation of Roma children in the form of separate classes and schools is widespread throughout Russian regions and is often approved and supported by local authorities and school administrations. This practice includes segregation in so-called ‘special schools’ for Roma, segregation in ‘Roma classes’, and denial of enrolment in mainstream schools. The segregation is solely based on ethnic grounds and no measures are undertaken by the government for the proper integration of the Roma children into the basic education system. Efforts to protect Roma children from segregation through legal proceedings proved to be ineffective and providing protection of children’s rights in local courts is often practically impossible.

LACK OF MEASURES TO ENCOURAGE REGULAR ATTENDANCE AT SCHOOLS AND PROMOTING EDUCATION FOR MINORITY CHILDREN

Despite certain improvements in some of the regions, actions at local level do not sufficiently guarantee Roma children’s right to education. Some affirmative actions are intended for Roma children, but are ineffective due to the general socio-economic living conditions in Roma communities and the overall discriminatory system of relations between the Roma population and the state agencies, local authorities and school administrations. Local and regional government bodies responsible for organizing the education system in constituent territories often do not keep up with their responsibilities towards Roma children, including with the need for positive measures for supporting the most vulnerable groups.

In certain schools administrations provided some measures for inclusion of Roma children, but improvements have been fragmentary and depend mostly on the intervention of NGOs and the “goodwill” of the local authorities (e.g. the case in Nizhniye Vyazovye settlement (Tatarstan Republic): “Roma from the settlement requested help in ensuring their children’s rights to education and complained about poor results (4th and 5th grade children still had difficulties with reading and basic arithmetic). Roma students from the school in the Nizhniye Vyazovye settlement have complained that after several years of schooling they cannot even read and that they are kept separate from the other students”).

26 For example, a report entitled “Analysis of the School of Verhniye Oselky village for the 2009-2010 academic year and objectives for the new academic year” sets out a variety of measures “to create conditions for the socialization and integration of Roma children in the Russian system of rural school” and “to educate Roma children and parents”, but in practice these measures are rarely efficiently implemented.
In August 2009, ADC "Memorial" officially requested the administration of Zelenodolsky District to focus on the implementation of the right to education, and to ban segregation in the Nizhniye Vyazovye School. On September 4, 2009, the school administration called a meeting of the Roma parents; the parents unanimously voted for separate classes. In so doing, the school administration has attempted to legitimize illegal segregation of Roma children, not taking into consideration the fundamental nature of children's right to equal education, and the government's and parents' obligation to provide secondary education in compliance with federal rules and regulations.

Since the school and the Zelenodolsky District administration have not taken any effective measures by January 2010, ADC "Memorial" addressed a complaint to the district's public prosecutor's office about violations of children's rights and legitimate interests. The prosecutor's inspection confirmed the violations: children were still studying in a small separate classroom and were not receiving a proper education and an opportunity to continue their education. Because of the importance of the problem and the continued violation of the children's rights, ADC "Memorial" filed a second complaint, calling for an independent examination of the children's academic results and for the introduction of specific measures to ensure protection of children's rights. In response to the second complaint, the public prosecutor's office has informed ADC "Memorial" that children will be studying together as of the new 2010/2011 school year. A reply to an inquiry addressed to the school also stated that the classes would be integrated. According to the latest reports from Roma parents, their children are actually studying in common classes, attend school on a regular basis, and their educational level has risen significantly.

Roma parents often do not understand the importance of education for their children, being themselves illiterate and facing the lack of support from the state. Likewise, Roma children are deprived of the possibility to protect their own right to education in cases when parents are unwilling to ensure their school attendance. This is often combined with the reluctance of public and school authorities to intervene in the best interests of the children.

Discriminatory conditions in Roma schooling make it impossible for Roma students to reach an educational level that meets government standards. This was verified and confirmed in an independent examination of the level of subject knowledge among Roma students that was carried out by ADC "Memorial" experts in Roma settlements, in different regions of Russia: Tatarstan Republic, Tambov, Bryansk and Leningrad Province. The expertise aimed to determine the level of knowledge and skills of Roma pupils and showed that the academic results of Roma pupils do not correspond to the level set by state education standards and requirements.

For example, an expertise on the level of education, received by Roma pupils from segregated classes in Verkniye Oselky village (there is a school with segregated classes for Roma children from Peri Roma settlement, Vsevolozhsk district of Leningrad Province), took part in October 2010. This expertise has identified a "low level of knowledge and poor level of skills" of all Roma pupils.

Because of the low level and the poor quality of the primary education, children from the Roma settlements do not actually have access to secondary education.

**LACK OF ACCESS TO EDUCATION FOR MIGRANT CHILDREN**

Despite general guarantees that are spelled out in the relevant legislation, the practice of discrimination against migrant children, in the process of admission into schools, is widespread. No comprehensive anti-discrimination legislation has been introduced to eliminate the problem of discriminatory treatment in the process of school admission, nor were any measures, to improve education for groups that are subjected to discrimination, implemented during the reporting period. The new bill “On Education in the Russian Federation”27 which was exposed for public discussion does not provide any specific rules prohibiting discriminatory practices. Replacing the term “citizen” with the term “student” (and therefore formally eliminating the requirement to provide proof of citizenship when enrolling a child in the school) will almost certainly not lead to a change in the schools' current practice. School administrations continue to demand personal documents for both parents and children, as well

---

as permanent and temporary registration documents, since there is still no formal ban on refusing applications from children without such documents, and since the educational institutions are free to decide which additional documents are required for enrolment.

Moreover, school administrations are under threat of administrative and criminal prosecution if they admit migrant children whose parents have document-related problems. Schools with high concentration of migrant children are subject to regular checks from the office of the prosecutor. Besides, regional migration services are requesting the schools to report on children who are ‘illegal residents’ in Russia. In order to keep up-to-date information on residence permits and places of registration, school representatives have to make regular visits to the homes of the pupils. Failure to carry out regular checks can result in administrative procedures against the school administration. Through this mechanism schools are closely involved in the migration control system, which also results in turning down the applications for admission from those children whose residence-related documentation is not entirely in compliance with the requirements of Russian law.

Paragraph 504 of the Report submitted by the Russian Federation to CERD states: “In accordance with Federal Act No 115 of 25 July 2002 on the Legal Status of Foreign Nationals, foreign nationals in the Russian Federation enjoy the same right to education as Russian citizens”. According to the observations of ADC “Memorial” neither applicable laws, nor the practice that is implemented by the relevant authorities correspond to this statement. Based on the Federal Act No 115, the right of a family member of the foreign national to reside in Russia does not depend on the residence right of such foreign national. The only exception from this rule are the high skilled workers, whose family members have the right of residence within Russian Federation that corresponds to the duration of the employment contract of the person in question. Therefore, this regulation gives the right to reside with their parents only to children of high skilled workers, while children of those migrant workers, who are not classified as high skilled, cannot enjoy the same rights.

The list of documents required for school admission is defined by relevant provisions of the “Law on Education” and by the statute(s) of the school. For the admission to the municipal educational institutions the list of documents, necessary for the admission, is defined by the local self-governance authorities. Therefore, the existing legal framework effectively decentralizes the decision-making regarding the admission process, which, among others, includes setting the exhaustive list of required documentation.

According to Order N: 1674 r of the Education Committee of Saint Petersburg, dated July 7, 2012, parents (legal representatives) of the child, who is not a citizen of Russian Federation, are requested to submit the following documentation upon application for admission to school: a. personal identity document of the child of a foreign national, or personal identity document of a stateless child who resides within the territory of the Russian Federation; b. documents that could prove the legality of residence on the territory of the Russian Federation by a child who is national of another state, or for a stateless child – a visa or a migration card.

The parents (legal representatives) of a child, who is not a citizen of the Russian Federation, are also required to present duly certified copies of the documents, proving the relationship of the applicant (or legal representation rights of child), and proof of the applicant’s right to reside in the Russian Federation.

Order N: 1674 effectively creates conditions under which access to education is relative to the migrant status of the child, as well as the migrant status of the parents of the applicant child. Such requirements are in contradiction to the spirit of the recommendation 27 of the Committee.

ADC “Memorial” has documented several cases in which children were not admitted to schools because their parents were labor migrants.

On November 27, 2012, ADC “Memorial” was approached for assistance by the Uzbek nationals Davron T. (born in 1974) and Yulduzkhon A. (born in 1977). According to the couple their three children Mardjon (born in 1998), Sitora (born in 2000) and Ulugbek (born in 2002) were experiencing problems with admission into school. The couple and their children have been legally residing in Russia (Saint-Petersburg) since September 14, 2012.
From the day of arrival the couple unsuccessfully tried to have their three children registered to the schools No 3 and No 73 of the Petrograd District. Their requests for admission were also turned down by the District’s regional authorities. Eventually, after continued efforts, all three children were admitted by School No 3, on October 18th, 2012. Soon after admission, the children received a notice from the local migration authorities, which explained that according to Russian law their continuous stay in Saint-Petersburg (despite the fact that their parents have the right to stay for one year) should not exceed 90 days. As a result, the family is forced to leave the country every three months in order to get new migration cards for their three children, which restart the 90 day count automatically.

After two years of failed attempts to have her daughter admitted to school, Farida Z., Uzbek national, mother of Shakaroy A. (born in 2003), approached ADC "Memorial" for assistance. It appears that the official reason for failing to have her daughter admitted by the school is Shakara's migration card, which expires every three months without crossing the border of Russian Federation. As a consequence, and despite the fact that both of Shakara's parents are legally residing in Russia, she is unable to attend the school.

Thus, both Federal Act No 115 and the administrative practice of admitting children of migrant workers into schools violate basic child rights standards.

It is evident that differential treatment of children, based on social status or national identity of their parents is unacceptable, and the right of every child to live together with his/her own parent must be unconditional. Such are the requirements under the European Convention of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms to which Russian Federation is also a party. In accordance to the well-established case law of the European Court of Human Rights – the creation of conditions, under which, children will be forcefully separated from their parents, is in violation of Article 8 of the Convention (right to privacy and family life). The requirements of Russian law which do not guarantee the right of residence to the children of migrant workers are such 'conditions', and they need to be immediately brought in line with Russia's international legal obligations.

Many children of migrants do not even have a real opportunity to attend school due to their living conditions, as in the case of the Roma migrants, living in the camp near St. Petersburg.

Roma parents do not have the opportunity to send a child to school or to kindergarten, since the children, like their parents, often lack identity documents and have to take part in the ensuring the survival of the camp. Moreover, the closest school is more than a kilometer away from the camp. In this situation, the Russian authorities take no measures to ensure that education for the children of the Roma migrant camp is available and acceptable.
APPENDIX 1

BACKGROUND ON ROMA IN RUSSIA

MIGRANT ROMA GROUPS

The courtiers of the Commonwealth of independent States (CIS) are inhabited by numerous sub-ethnic groups of Roma. For example, in Ukraine, according to the 2001 census there were 47,600 people identified as Roma. Being members of an isolated and discriminated group, with historically lower level of income and education compared to the rest of the population, Roma have been actively migrating. Soviet Government limited the nomadism (the special law adopted in 1956 criminalized the nomadic way of life), but in post-Soviet times Russia became a country of destination of many migrants, including Roma. Yet, in their struggle for improving living outside the country of origin, the Roma-migrants have been confronted with difficulties of complex nature.

Two of the most notable Roma-migrant groups living in Russia are Mugat people and Magyar people. Central Asian Roma self-name is Mugat, they are also known as Lyuli in Uzbekistan and as Djugi in Tajikistan. Ancestors of Central Asian Roma even in the Middle Ages have settled on the territory of current Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan and the Kyrgyz Republic, creating villages (mahala) at the boundaries of rich towns. Leading a seminomadic lifestyle, for centuries they have remained uneducated and lived on the edge of extreme poverty. Traditionally, the men were involved in small crafts making, while women mostly did fortune-telling and begging.

A notable characteristic of Roma population is their relative young age. A significant part of the Roma-Mugat group, in Central Asian countries as well as in the Russian Federation consists of children. In these communities home-birth is practised, and in most cases, the mothers and their children are not supervised by medical institutions, neither do they receive medical assistance. The new-borns are not registered with the relevant state bodies and do not have the possibility to eventually receive personal identification documents, which eventually leads to inability to obtain citizenship and to receive education. Thus, in an information letter from the state institution City Centre for the Prevention of Child Neglect and Juvenile Drug Addiction "Kontakt", that was handed over to ADC "Memorial" on 27 November 2006, it was stated that on the revealed settlement of Mugat-migrants in Saint-Petersburg there are 50 underage children living "without relevant identification documents, not attending schools, and in inadequate living conditions".

Another vulnerable Roma-migrant group are the Hungarian-speaking Roma, natives of the Trans-Carpathian region of Ukraine. Large parts of this group Roma are named "Magyars". Their attempts to resettle from the Trans-Carpathian region to Saint-Petersburg started in 1994, the same year when the natives of the towns Beregovo, Vinogradov and Mukachevo have started their permanent and temporary settlements (families Tovt, Lakatosh, Tonto, Gorvat, Varga, Fontosh, Forkosh, Pap, Balog), and their numbers have increased significantly after the floods in 1998 and 2001.

Uneducated illegal Roma-Magyars have several ways of earning their living, some of which include collecting metals for selling to private dealers, or working as part-time workers on the industrial complexes around the settlement.

The Roma camps are often located in the vicinity of industrial and household waste dump, and earnings are frequently made from collecting scrap metal (especially during the warm weather). The ‘work’ in the waste dump is done by men as well as women. Other, often more profitable activities are petty thefts, robberies and begging. Adult Magyars also involve children, especially girl-teenagers in the activity of theft “bands”. Constant practices among the Roma-Magyars include early marriages and cohabitation.
ROMA – CONSTANT RESIDENTS OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

In the Russian Federation there are Roma settlements where some segregated communities live (for example, Kelrerari Roma group). The living conditions in most Roma settlements all over Russia are extremely low and Russian authorities do not take positive measures for improving them. In many of the Roma settlements, there are problems with access to water, gas and electricity. For example, in the settlement near Krasnoyarsk, Roma children had no access to drinking water for a long time.

In early 2013 the Russian government adopted a Complex plan for the socio-economic and cultural development of Roma ethnic community, to contribute to the integration of Roma into the modern Russian society. However, due to the absence of allocation of corresponding resources required for the implementation of this plan, it appears that the plan was developed for formal purposes, and will not actually improve the situation of Roma children's living conditions.

Besides the fact that the authorities did not play a positive role in improving the living conditions for Roma children, the authorities themselves contributed directly to the further deterioration of their housing conditions. For example, in 2012, after 3 years without cases of evictions of Roma, local authorities re-started practice of demolishing Roma houses. In October 2012 in the village of Topki, Kemerovo Province (Siberia), 5 Roma houses were demolished. The demolition was executed on the basis of a decision issued by the local court, as a result of an application submitted by the local administration in 2011. The demolition took place just before the Siberian winter, during which the temperature goes down to –45 degrees Celsius. It left 35 individuals, including under-aged children, without shelter. None of the affected families were offered alternative accommodation, nor were child welfare authorities interested in the fate of the children who became homeless.

Medical assistance is provided inadequately with Roma often denied medical care on discriminatory grounds. For example, Roma are often prevented from benefiting from public medical services. Inhabitants of Roma compact settlements often complain that they are refused emergency medical assistance, which sometimes leads to fatal outcomes. In certain cases, such as the hospital of Toksovo in Leningrad Province, Roma children and their accompanying parents are segregated from the rest of the hospital patients and endure much worse conditions of accommodation and lack of basic hygiene norms. Roma patients are strictly prohibited to enter ‘Russian sections’ of the hospital and are obligated to remain in the wing of the building especially designated for them.

The conditions for Roma children in schools, where they are taught separately from other children, also do not meet the established standards of sanitary maintenance and safety of school buildings. For example, in the Roma settlement Kalinichi near Tambov (Central Russia), for a long time, Roma children were taught in a building, where the ceiling was frail and could fall at any time, while the school authorities did nothing to resolve the situation.
APPENDIX 2

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UN CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD AND PROBLEM OF CHILDREN FROM VULNERABLE GROUPS (RUSSIA)

Additional and updated information concerning the combined third and fourth periodic reports of the Russian Federation

December 2013
Question 6: Please inform the Committee on laws and other mechanisms put in place by the State party to provide protection from discrimination against children in vulnerable situations, such as children belonging to minority groups, Roma children, children of migrant workers, children of stateless persons, girls in North Caucasus, children who do not possess permanent residence registration and children belonging to the LGBT group. Please provide information, in particular, on the measures taken to fight and prevent xenophobia and hate crimes

DISCRIMINATION AGAINST CHILDREN IN VULNERABLE SITUATIONS

LACK OF SUPPORT AND PROTECTION FROM XENOPHOBIA FOR CHILDREN BELONGING TO ETHNIC MINORITIES

In February 2013, a pupil of school No 847, Islam Abdulganiyev, was killed in Moscow. The fact that the victim was originally from Tajikistan causes concern that the attack and murder stemmed from ethnic intolerance, racism and migrantophobia. Any suspicion of hate-motivated violence, especially violence against a child, must be treated as highly serious by the authorities.

After the murder, some pupils of school No 847 expressed a wish to pay tribute to the memory of a classmate who fell victim to a crime in a Moscow street, and suggested wearing black on the day of the boy’s funeral. The pupils’ initiative was sharply rebuked by their teacher, Svetlana Shamayeva. An audio recording of the teacher’s comments was published by a number of mass media outlets and is freely available. Some statements by S. Shamayeva certainly demonstrated her negative view of migrants, including their different languages: “When you are riding in public transport... And everyone speaks in their own language – teh-teh-teh-teh-teh...” She also made some openly chauvinistic statements: “Why do we, native residents of Russia, have to put up with all of that?”

One pupil complained to the headmaster, submitting the audio recording of the “instructional interview”. As a result, two teachers, the one whose speech was recorded, and her colleague who supported her stance, were fired by the school management due to “immoral behaviour”. However, a court later found that the firing was illegal, and ordered that the teachers be reinstated and paid compensation for moral damages.

Media coverage of the trial made offensive words heard during a lesson in a multi-ethnic school available to the public at large. The media have extensively quoted words of support for the teachers’ xenophobic statements. After their reinstatement, the two fired women continued teaching at the same school No 847.

There is no doubt that this situation has negatively affected the children belonging to ethnic minorities and children of migrants in multi-ethnic Moscow schools.

Increase in the number of underage persons involved in nationalist groups and lack of efficient state measures to prevent involvement of underage persons in committing hate crimes

Many analysts noted an increase in the number of youths, including the underage, involved in radical right political groups. The starkest examples in St Petersburg include the nationalist “People’s Gathering” on October 20, 2013, and the “Russian march” on November 4, 2013, both of which had many underage participants. After nationalists hold public events, groups of participants commit anti-migrant attacks and a large percentage of the attackers are underage. The official website of the Interior Ministry directorate for St. Petersburg and Leningrad Oblast reported that 62 underage persons were detained after the nationalist “People’s Gathering” on October 20: “Attempts to commit illegal actions were made in different districts of the city, which were stopped thanks to rapid reaction

http://msk.kp.ru/daily/26095/2995038/
of police patrols... During investigation, it was established that 62 of the detainees are underage. During searches the detainees had air pistols, firecrackers, knives and masks seized from them.\textsuperscript{29}

The media reported that “70 per cent of those detained after the ‘Russian March’ are adolescents.”\textsuperscript{30} “Organizers of the ‘Russian March’ in Volgograd and police confirmed that 69 people were detained during the event. Law-enforcement officers, however, said that the majority of the detainees have been handed over to their parents after a preventative interview, as they were below legal age.”\textsuperscript{31}

At the same time, cases involving the underage committing hate crimes are practically never investigated, and adult nationalists who involve the underage in pogroms and pre-planned attacks against migrants are usually not prosecuted under Article 150 of the Russian Federation Criminal Code (“Involving an underage person in committing a crime”).

**BREACHES OF RIGHTS OF LABOUR MIGRANTS’ CHILDREN BY OFFICERS OF LAW-ENFORCEMENT BODIES**

**Prosecution of underage Uzbekistan national Maldibekov**

Administrative cases concerning underage migrants are considered without regard for the fact that an underage person is being prosecuted. In considering administrative cases on breaches of migration legislation, the rule which says that cases concerning the underage should be considered not by a court, but by a Commission on Juvenile Affairs and Protection of Juvenile Rights is not applied. The underage person’s legal representatives are not notified, and punishment is applied without regard for the person’s age.

ADC Memorial has been contacted by a 16-year-old Uzbekistan national, Nurzhan Maldibekov, who was administratively prosecuted and sentenced to a fine and administrative expulsion for lacking medical insurance and working without a work permit. The Gatchinsky District court simultaneously gave adult migrants the same sentence for the same offence. Appeals in Nurzhan Maldibekov’s case have been filed with the Leningrad Oblast court, pointing out that according to current legislation, administrative cases concerning underage persons should be heard by Commissions on Juvenile Rights and Protection of Juvenile Rights, which also applies to the children of migrants. Moreover, the first-instance court has not even made an attempt to locate the legal representatives of the 16-year-old Nurzhan Maldibekov. The appeal concerning prosecution for lack of a work permit pointed out that an underage foreign national cannot legally obtain a work permit to work in the Russian Federation, thus there are no legal grounds to prosecute him under this clause.

Leningrad Oblast court has rejected all defense arguments and upheld the rulings concerning Nurzhan Maldibekov, who was obliged to relocate to Uzbekistan. According to Nurzhan Maldibekov, his mother stayed on in Russia.

It is apparent that the court’s priority was not to protect the child’s interests but to “fight against illegal migration”. The court employed a discriminatory approach toward the migrant child, did not take into account his being underage and did not attempt to look for his legal representatives.

**Travel ban concerning underage Kyrgyzstan national Samikzhon Samikov**

Samik Samikov has lived in St. Petersburg since 2011 and is a successful pupil at the Professional Lyceum for Technology and Design. His mother works in St. Petersburg under a permit issued by the Russian Federation. In June 2013, Samik travelled from Russia’s Chelyabinsk Oblast to Kazakhstan. Upon return, he was prevented from entering Russia because, according to the explanation he was given, his name appeared on a database of persons who are banned from entering the Russian Federation until

\textsuperscript{29} http://78.mvd.ru/news/item/1286416
\textsuperscript{30} http://www.fontanka.ru/2013/11/08/154
\textsuperscript{31} http://www.novayagazeta-ug.ru/news/u2210/2013/11/04/22894
2016. Only with assistance from human rights advocates and ombudsmen for the Chelyabinsk Oblast and Volgograd Oblast could it be established that the ban was enacted in March 2013 by the Federal Migration Service directorate for Volgograd Oblast (the location of his previous border-crossing) on the basis of lists received from the Federal Migration Service.

This was in accordance with federal law No 321-FZ, issued on December 30, 2013, which stipulates a three-year travel ban for entering the Russian Federation for any previous failure to leave Russia within a legally set term. Samikzhon Samikov has found himself in a tough situation. As he had no way to return to the Russian Federation, where his mother lived and where he was to continue his education, he travelled to Kyrgyzstan, which was not safe for him as an ethnic Uzbek born in the city of Osh. A Russian Federation travel ban for the underage Samikov, is a direct result of shortcomings in Russian legislation, which does not permit the issue of residence registration for underage foreign nationals for during their parents’ stay in the Russian Federation. ADC Memorial has repeatedly covered this problem, and it is included in its report for the UN Committee on Children’s Rights which was presented at the Committee’s pre-session.

Thanks to joint efforts of human rights advocates from Russia, Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan, the grounds upon which the travel ban was issued were identified, and Samikzhon Samikov was provided with assistance for the time that he was banned from travelling to the Russian Federation.

A member of the Public Chamber of the Russian Federation, B. L. Altshuler, compiled and sent a notice to the head of Federal Migration Service K. O. Romodanovsky, who was asked to consider Samikov’s situation and to overturn the travel ban. He was also asked to consider the general question of possibly softening the requirements of the Russian Federation legislation which concerns the term of permitted temporary presence of underage children of labour migrants in the Russian Federation by stipulating that this term is determined by the term of their parents’ legal presence in the Russian Federation, as well as equating the child-parent relationships to the category of “extraordinary circumstances unavoidable under the given conditions” (point 8, article 26, federal law No 114-FZ), under which the sanction of three-year ban on entering the Russian Federation is not applied.” In response, the travel ban in Samikov’s case was overturned, and orders were issued to the regional bodies of the Federal Migration service. Although the fulfillment of the order proved to be extremely complicated and required the active participation of B. L. Altshuler, the ban was finally overturned and Samikzhon Samikov was able to enter the Russian Federation to continue his studies, although he was late for the start of the school year.

Unfortunately, this situation of an underage migrant returning to continue his education is an exception from the general rule, according to which migrants’ children are obliged to leave the Russian Federation every 90 days, regardless of the length of their parents’ legal stay in the country. At the moment, extending children’s residence registration so they could legally stay with their parents in the Russian Federation is permitted only in cases when Federal Migration Service officers, together with school administrations, prolong the registration of migrant pupils for a year in accordance with their parents’ work permits and at their request (for example, ADC Memorial was made aware that this is practiced at one of the schools of St. Petersburg’s Petrogradsky District). Such decisions correspond to the best interests and protection of children’s rights, and should become the general rule. However, there are no legal grounds for such actions and no projected bills to that effect; on the contrary, bills on limiting migrant children’s access to education are being submitted to the State Duma of the Russian Federation.

**NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES OF “ANTI-MIGRANT” RAIDS BY RUSSIA FEDERATION LAW-ENFORCEMENT BODIES IN AUGUST 2013 ON CHILDREN FROM VULNERABLE GROUPS – MIGRANTS AND ROMA**

**Raids on Roma settlements**

Raids against “illegal migrants” in different regions of the Russian Federation, which followed enactment of a series of amendments that toughened migration legislation in August 2013, affected the Roma who are Russian nationals. The Federal Migration Service has carried out raids to check papers in Roma settlements, where usually a large portion of residents are children. Illegal “deportation” took place in Krasnodar Territory wherein Roma who hold Russian citizenship where forcibly relocated from one region of Russia to another:
During a patrol, Krasnodar Territory law enforcers ran across a Roma camping ground. It was located on the shore of the Zaton, and consisted of five tents. A cauldron was placed in the centre, and women cooked something in it. The ladies also did their washing in the river and hung it out to dry on the trees. The picture shocked the law-enforcers who decided to search the camp but they did not find anything except personal belongings and a parrot in a cage. All the nomads have been taken in for expert evaluation and fingerprinting.

“It turned out that the guests arrived here from Rostov Oblast. We took them to the railway station and sent them home,” said the press secretary of the Interior Ministry directorate for Krasnodar, Aleksandr Runov.

A three-year-old Roma child has been seized during a similar raid in Yaroslavl, according to media reports:

“Police officers have carried out a preventative event in a neighbourhood where a settlement of ethnic Roma is located. On August 14, 2013, officers of law-enforcement bodies, including officers of the Centres for Countering Extremism and Terrorism, SOBR and OMON riot squads, traffic police, Juvenile Affairs police officers of the Interior Ministry directorate for Yaroslav Oblast, as well as Federal Migration Service officers arrived to Sportivnaya Street in Yaroslavl’s Frunzensky District. The event was held in order to establish violations of migration legislation, as well as locating persons implicated in committing offences.

During checks, it was established that all people living in the settlement are citizens of the Russian Federation and have residence registration in Yaroslav Oblast. Traffic police officers checked all documents for the transport which was located at the settlement. Juvenile Affairs police officers have detected an unsupervised three-year-old boy, an ethnic Roma, who was not overseen by adults.

The child was taken to the Frunzensky police station of the Interior Ministry directorate for Yaroslav Oblast for checks, and then forwarded to a medical facility. At the moment, the child’s identity is being established, as is the identity of his legal representatives, who are to be administratively prosecuted under article 5.35 of the Administrative Offences Code of the Russian Federation (non-performance by parents or legal representatives of the underage persons of their duties to support and educate the underage).”

A similar raid was carried out in Vologda Oblast:

“Officers of the Federal Migration Service directorate for Vologda Oblast have checked a Roma camping ground, prompted by numerous complaints by the residents of Vologda. Cossacks have also taken part in the raid.”

Additionally, Roma settlements are subjected to raids by “Cossack militia”, in relation to “ethnic conflicts” between residents of Roma settlements and their neighbours (for example, anti-Roma raids in Pskov Oblast). These “Cossack squads” are not comprised of law-enforcement officers and conduct raids “on a voluntary basis”. They have a paramilitary structure, uniforms and support from local authorities, which may indicate serious breaches of the rights of all Roma living in the settlement.

As a result of such law-enforcement raids on locations where Roma families live with their children, children’s rights to safe conditions of life and development are breached. The right to the inviolability of private and family life is also breached, as both children and their parents are subjected to unjustified checks by police and Federal Migration Service officers, as well as by “Cossack squads” in various regions. Additionally, the practice of “checking compliance with migration legislation” of Russian citizens, who permanently live in the Russian Federation, but can be visually identified as minorities, constitutes ethnic profiling of the Roma by police and Federal Migration Service officers.

---

35 http://pln-pskov.ru/society/149643.html
**Consequences of "anti-migrant" raids for migrants' children**

As a result of numerous "anti-migrant" raids carried out in Moscow, St. Petersburg and other regions after the tightening of migration legislation in August 2013, not only adult migrants have suffered, but also the children. There is information that the "camp for illegal migrants" which was set up in Moscow and which did not conform to any norms or standards for "foreign nationals holding centres", held children amongst others. An article by human rights advocate S. Gannushkina, who visited the camp, noted that a four-year-old boy was held at the camp.36

New legislation stipulates compulsory administrative expulsion in case of any violation of migration legislation in the territory of Moscow, St. Petersburg, Moscow Oblast and Leningrad Oblast. Therefore, rulings on administrative expulsion have been made concerning a large number of foreign nationals, primarily citizens of Uzbekistan, Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan. According to Federal Migration Service directorate for St. Petersburg and Leningrad Oblast, more than 1,483 people have been expelled.37 Trial monitoring indicates that in handling cases concerning foreign nationals, the courts do not take into account the fact that the defendants' underage children are in St. Petersburg. Thus, parents have been separated from their children.

**LIMITATIONS FOR ACCEPTING CHILDREN OF MIGRANTS TO SOCIAL INSTITUTIONS FOR CHILDREN WITHOUT PARENTAL CARE**

In St. Petersburg, children who have no residence registration in the city, including children from other Russian regions and children with citizenship of other countries who find themselves in a complicated situations are sent to the St. Petersburg state-funded institution – a social shelter for children called Tranzit (referred to hereafter as Tranzit shelter). Tranzit shelter “accepts children and adolescents aged between three and 18 years of age, who left families, orphanages and other institutions in Russia and foreign countries without permission and who found themselves in complicated situations in life”.38 At the same time, there exists an "Instruction on Reception Procedures for Tranzit Shelter", which sets out the order of accepting children to the shelter:

“We accept underage persons:
1. Citizens of Russian Federation and other states,
2. Aged between three and 18 years,
3. Who do not have residence registration in St. Petersburg,
4. Who left family or children's institution without permission.

We do not accept:
2. In a state of intoxication by alcohol or narcotics, acute mental illness (Statute).
3. Those who committed administrative offence (not to be confused with a crime for which a written pledge not to leave a location was chosen as a restrictive measure).

We accept underage CIS nationals under 16 years of age if they can present a document confirming age.”

Thus, underage persons aged 16 to 18, who are citizens of CIS member states (countries from which the largest numbers of labour migrants arrive, including families with children) and who

---

36 http://grani.ru/blogs/free/entries/217546.html
38 http://fiodor.ru/about
find themselves in difficult circumstances in St. Petersburg, without parental care, are deprived of a chance to receive due assistance from the only state-run shelter in the city which accepts children who are foreign citizens.

**FAILURE TO PROVIDE MEDICAL ASSISTANCE TO A MIGRANT WOMAN GIVING BIRTH**

Russian mass media has widely covered the incident when a migrant woman from Uzbekistan was not provided medical assistance when giving birth (some sources said that she was a member of the Lyuli ethnic group, who are discriminated against in the Russian Federation both as Roma and as migrants).

As the media reported, on November 6, 2013, the woman was not allowed into Vladivostok’s Maternity Ward No 1, and she started giving birth on the steps of the medical facility. The maternity ward justified the refusal to accept the woman by the fact that she did not have documents. Eyewitnesses called the police, and only afterwards was the woman accepted at the medical facility, where she gave birth. Almost immediately, the unnamed Uzbek national left the maternity ward but she was tracked down by the Federal Migration Service officers in order to prosecute her.

According to the official website of the Federal Migration Service directorate for Maritime Territory, “On November 8 of this year, officers of the immigration control department of the Russian Federal Migration Service directorate for Maritime Territory have identified and detained an Uzbekistan national who ran away from Maternity Ward No 1 yesterday morning. It was established that she entered the Russian Federation in 2011, and after her legal stay period of 90 days expired she did not travel back to her home country, thus breaching migration legislation. The last location where she had migration registration was the city of Krasnoyarsk, however, it still remains to be established what was the purpose of her journey to Vladivostok and what she was doing there. Apart from the child that she gave birth to recently, she had on her hands two more young children, who were also probably born in the Russian Federation. At the moment, court papers for her expulsion are being prepared, however, rules of passenger transportation do not permit children under one month of age to board aircraft, thus the process of expulsion can be stalled.

It should be reminded that under Article 18.8, Section 1 “Breaching of rules for entering the Russian Federation or of regime for presence (residence) in the Russian Federation by a foreign national or stateless person”, which the Uzbekistan national was charged with, is punished by an administrative fine between 2,000 and 5,000 roubles with or without administrative expulsion from the Russian Federation.”

Thus, the only thing preventing expulsion was that the woman had a newborn child. It was reported that the woman’s older children have been “placed in the care of her acquaintances.”

There was no punishment for the medics who refused to deliver the baby. “The Investigations Committee has decided not to initiate a criminal case concerning the ‘birth on the steps’ at a Vladivostok maternity ward,” Investigations Committee investigative directorate for Maritime Territory website said on Friday, “due to the absence of an event of official crime in the medics’ actions.”

Moreover, Vladivostok city Duma member Andrey Andreychenko (Liberal Democratic Party of Russia) has proposed “to issue certificates of honour to medics who refuse to deliver illegals’ babies.” The situation concerning a lack of assistance in delivering babies of labour migrants can be repeated in any city because the medics not only evade punishment for refusing to help, but are actually commended as participants in the “fight against illegal migration and ‘birth tourism’.”

---

40 http://ria.ru/vl/20131109/975685805.html  
42 RIA Novosti news agency http://ria.ru/vl/20131113/976470260.html#ixzz2kVmpQZ7I
EFFECTS OF RUSSIAN ANTI-GAY LAWS ON LGBT CHILDREN AND CHILDREN OF LGBT FAMILIES

As was partially noted in reports on rights of children with respect to sexual orientation and gender identity, submitted to the Committee in 2013 by the Coming Out LGBT organization (hereinafter “Coming Out Report”) and Russian LGBT Network, a range of laws were adopted within the last two years in Russia which restrict the rights of LGBT people, LGBT families and LGBT rights advocates. These laws are as follows:

- Law prohibiting “propaganda of sodomy, lesbianism, bisexuality, transgenderism among the under-age” adopted in St.-Petersburg43;
- Federal law prohibiting “propaganda of unconventional sexual relationship”44;
- Law prohibiting adoption of Russian children by same sex couples from other countries;45
- Draft federal law on termination of parental rights of homosexual parents.46

While direct application of the given laws is not widely practiced, they escalate homophobia in public and encourage stigmatization of LGBT children and children of LGBT families. These laws contradict the view reiterated by the Committee on the Importance of Awareness-raising and other Preventive Activities against discrimination and affirmative action for the benefit of children in vulnerable situations, such as lesbian, bisexual, gay and transgender children and children living with persons from these groups.47

Cases of harassment and psychological violence towards LGBT children

As it was earlier noted, the propaganda laws encourage an environment of antagonism and harassment to adolescents at school or in everyday life by their peers, teachers and parents. In a survey conducted by the Russian LGBT Network in August 2013 (hereinafter the Survey)48, 169 (of the 267 polled) LGBT adolescents, which is 63.3% of the respondents under 18 age, stated that they had faced cases of psychological violence. 89 respondents, 33.3% of those polled, noted that they had faced it many times.

The respondents were able to comment on their answers and they often mentioned cases of bullying at school.

---

46 About modifications to article 69 of the Family Code of the Russian Federation (about the amendments to the list of reasons for termination of parental rights): Draft Law No338740-6, passed by deputy of the State Duma A.A. Zhuravlev. See ref.: http://asozd2.duma.gov.ru/main.nsf/%28Spravka%29OpenAgent&RN=338740-6&02. The Draft Law was recalled on October 16, 2013, however, according to the spokesperson of the deputy, the law was recalled just for follow-up revision of the wording, after that the law is to return to the State Duma. Source: http://lenta.ru/news/2013/10/20/again/.
Examples:

“Bullying at school, hacking of their VK pages. Teachers tried to persuade me that I have to change my orientation”

“I am very often debased at school. They would beat me, throw things. Many times they poured various rubbish into the pockets of my jacket, spoiling my clothes”

“I will have to endure attacks on the part of my classmates: their stupid hateful jokes about gays and so on up until I leave for the university”

“My best friend decided to ‘change’ me, ‘drive me to the way of the truth’ by forcing me. (I was forced to go with him for a ‘walk’ where I was forced to endure vilification). After that I decided to go into hiding. They drilled the information into me that all gays are future pedophiliacs. (Following the video “Homosexuality is not a standard…”). I wished to take my own life, but one thing stopped me: I thought about my mom, I knew she would not bear it (as I am the only child in the family).”

“Guys from my school began feeling that I had different orientation. Perhaps, some of them didn’t care about it, but they started abusing me. They said painful things only when they were in the company of two or three of them. After that they several times instigated other schoolboys to take part in beating me”

“There is not a single day without flaks at school. Generally, they said just rude words, but at times they go far beyond and beat me”

“Constant psychological pressure on the part of the classmates sometimes turning into the physical violence”

“They were about to exclude me from school, when the teacher had suspicions that I liked girls”

“I talked about it to my friend, but she appeared to be a homophobe. She chattered about it in my class. Any respect to me was lost at once. They could even hit me, abuse or take my things. No one noticed that. I am afraid to tell about it to anyone at school and to my parents too. I have heard many times how they insulted people of unconventional orientation. I have no one to go to.”

Restricting access of LGBT children and adolescents to the assistance of teachers and psychologists

The Special Rapporteur on the Right to Education has been concerned that the legislation prohibiting “homosexual propaganda” might also be an attempt to prevent the dissemination of educational information that promotes universal human rights, tolerance and respect for diversity. He is also concerned that it would prevent students from having access to sexual health information and that it would jeopardize educators’ rights.

As was noted in the Coming Out Report, “the law [prohibiting propaganda] could stop all the attempts to explain the importance of tolerance and non-discrimination of LGBT people in school, and could harm LGBT adolescents as they could lose any professional support and objective information related to their sexual orientation or gender identity.” Implementing the St. Petersburg
law demonstrates the pressure upon the organizations who run programs to develop tolerance among adolescents and familiarize them with the diversity in the society.

Example:

In 2012 the non-commercial organization “German-Russian Exchange” held a workshop titled “Abnormal or otherwise”. The workshop was attended by adolescents of 14-18 years from Poland, Germany and Russia. The workshop in St. Petersburg was dedicated to the issue of norms and their relation to human rights. During the discussion of human rights violation, it appeared that the students were concerned with gender issues. Thus, one day meetings were arranged for people who practice or face discrimination. Personal meetings were arranged in small groups, and the students had a choice of where to go. One of the meetings was arranged in coordination with the LGBT organization “ComingOut”, talking about its experience, its activity, discrimination and hardships of LGBT people. After the meeting a general discussion was held to allow children to express their views. No calls were made by parents during the workshop. At the end of the workshop, schoolgirl N. said that her family would not speak about “unconventional relationships”. In December 2012, Olga, an officer of the German-Russian Exchange, started calling parents to invite them to another part of the workshop. The father of girl N. began asking about the program and said that discussion of LGBT issues was in breach of the law. Olga suggested a personal meeting. At the meeting the man insisted on abstaining from LGBT issues in the project. Olga consulted the training team and decided to talk about LGBT only if children themselves talked about them. Having learned about such a decision, the father of N. prohibited his daughter from participating in the project. Moreover, he involved a schoolmate of N., who had also participated in the training, into the conflict and she also refused to participate. In mid-February both families and the gymnasium filed an application to the government attorney with respect to the propaganda law requesting that they “conduct an inspection and take measures”. The government attorney cautioned Olga.

Teachers openly expressing their support to LGBT students are subject to harassment and forced to resign from schools. Supporting LGBT students may have adverse results for the teachers up to and including the loss of their job and a need to move from their home. The children are prohibited from accessing support and unbiased information about homosexuality.

Example:

O., a teacher from Magnitogorsk, was forced to leave her job. Moreover, she had to leave her home city in Chelyabinsk oblast after harassment arranged by the homophobic activists. On her page in social media O. did not conceal her advocacy of LGBT rights, which resulted in a stream of complaints made to the management of her school and the department of education. However, even after she moved to St. Petersburg her persecutors were not satisfied, and they attempted to find O. at the public LGBT campaigns, take pictures of her and make posts on the Internet with calls to find her and to figure out whether she works in any school in St. Petersburg, and, if she does, file complaints.

A similar situation is developing around E., a teacher of the St. Petersburg gymnasium and an activist of the Alliance of Straights for Equality of LGBT. The persecutors accuse E. of homosexual propaganda, write complaints, post her photographs on the Internet, accuse her of working for “foreign governments” etc.

LGBT adolescents starting to realize their identity consider themselves ‘illegal’, excluded from the public and the law

Examples:

“I had dated [a girl] for half a year, when the law prohibiting homosexual propaganda was adopted. At that time I was sitting in front of the TV set and watching the news. They showed the meeting of those inconsistent with the law, and later one newsmann cast dirt at all of us with his words. I had never felt such a pain before.

59 The case is registered within the frame of monitoring the discrimination and violation of LGBT rights held by Coming Out LGBT organization. Besides, Olga was provided legal support with respect to the inspection at the request filed to the government attorney within the scope of the Legal Support program of Coming Out LGBT organization.

60 The case is registered within the frame of monitoring the discrimination and violation of LGBT rights held by Coming Out LGBT organization.

61 The case is registered within the frame of monitoring the discrimination and violation of LGBT rights held by Comingout LGBT organization. Besides, E. was provided legal support with respect to the application filed to the government attorney within the scope of Legal Support program of Comingout LGBT organization 2012, S., 16 years, lesbian, St.-Petersburg (the story from the Children 404 project).
For the first time in my life I felt being outside the law. Thoughts started tumbling in my brain: "Why?", "What evil have we done to you?", "Now my love is illegal". Tears gushed from my eyes, I went to my room. Mother came to me and asked what happened to me. First I feared to tell her the reason of my tears, but finally I burst out: "I don't want to be banned". That was how my mother found out about my orientation. Fortunately, her reaction was normal, she believed it was just an awkward age. The rest of my relatives don't know and won't ever know. They are rampant homophobes.  

“It seems I have been realizing the fact that I am different since an early age, but at that time it was in the back of my mind. I started realizing my orientation just a year ago; I was 16. I can't say that it was difficult. No. The only thing that scared me was a homophobic community. I feared that someone will know about my feelings for a boy from another group. I tried to conceal it not only from other people, but even from myself. I tried to love him off me. It worked. [...] But there was still something left: understanding of my nonconventional nature. Moreover, I envy heterosexual people. They don't have to hide their love as if it is sick, abnormal, crippled or a big shame. They can walk outside hand in hand, kiss their loved ones anywhere, they may go to the office of civil registration after all... Meantime, we have to hide like chicken thieves as if we are doing something horrible and shameful, while in fact we just love each other. I don't understand, what evil we are doing. Why did they adopt that idiotic law? What have we done to the government? It seems as if we may infect someone, draw somebody over to our side... Gays don't become gays just in a matter of their wish, because they feel bored or idle. If you have a desire to kiss someone of your own sex, then you have such inclination, and if so, you may propagandize or not, once they will come to the light. [...] When I think about it, I feel sad, depressive and pain. [...] I had to pretend and show a false face, but it wasn't to our liking. But I had to. I was torn between my desire to open up and my fear of possible aftermath.”  

Forced psychological treatment of LGBT children

As noted in the Report of the Russian LGBT Network, forced psychological treatment of LGBT children in Russia is a problem, and particularly impacts the vulnerable group which includes LGBT adolescents or adolescents realizing their sexual orientation and/or gender identity. The Report of the Russian LGBT Network cited cases of the forced treatment of Ivan Kharchenko and transgender youth in St. Petersburg. It should be noted that there are more cases.

The Survey shows that 6.4% of the polled (or 17 respondents) underwent forced psychological treatment related to their sexual orientation or gender identity. This figure is twofold higher than the number cited in the total selection of the research.

Examples:

"My brother is older than me by 7 years, he is a rampant homophobe and he began feeling something. He said to mom that I am abnormal, that I need to be treated, and exactly after that case my mom started treating me."  

"Threats ("I won't let you leave the city for study, if you don't stop dealing with her!")", forced visits to a doctor (yes, they believed that the psychologist would help me and that "calf love" would fade away all by itself)  

---

62 2012, S., 16 years, lesbian, St.-Petersburg (the story from the Children 404 project).
63 2013, D., 17 years, gay, St.-Petersburg (the story from the Children 404 project).
64 Rights violation and discrimination towards LGBT in Russia from September 2012 through August 2013. Report Data (August 1-31, 2013), selection by a group under age of 18 was made separately by the author of the research, http://lgbtnet.ru/sites/default/files/monitoring_2013.pdf The Survey included the answers of 267 respondents under age of 18 identifying themselves as LGBT, and the geography of respondents includes 59 constituent territories of the Russian Federation.
65 The quote from the comment to the survey of the Interregional Social Movement Russian LGBT Network (August 1-31, 2013).
66 Kseniya, Taganrog, 17 years, the quote provided by Lena Klimova, a coordinator of project Children-404, which collects stories of the LGBT adolescents in Russia.
Violence and discrimination against LGBT adolescents participating in the Human Rights Movement

The Committee has already stated that lesbian, gay, transgender or transsexual children are in a vulnerable position as they are likely to be exposed to violence, and the Special Representative to the Secretary General on violence against children has pointed out that “all children regardless of their [...] political or other opinion, [...] sexual orientation [...], should be protected from all forms of violence and be provided with such care and assistance as to ensure to the maximum extent possible their survival and development.”

Nevertheless, violence, discrimination and other human rights violations committed against LGBT rights advocates are widespread across Russia and seriously harm underage activists.

Example:

In October 2013 a meeting was to be held in St. Petersburg to coincide with the International Day of Coming Out. While the organizers took all reasonable measures in terms of the legislation and actions to prepare and notify public authorities about the campaign, the meeting failed. LGBT activists, who came to the stated place, found that it was occupied by the meeting opponents: nationalists, Cossacks, priests. The meeting opponents created physical barriers to prevent activists from approaching their legal place of the public campaign, they pushed activists, insulted them and cried out misanthropic and homophobic words. Police failed to do anything other than request that those present keep off the grass. After some time, dozens of participants of the abandoned meeting and opponents of LGBT were boarded onto buses and carried to police departments. At one of the departments there were about thirty meeting opponents and three LGBT activists – two girls and one underage boy. Despite the requests of the LGBT and human right activists outside the police department to transfer the three LGBT people to another police department or otherwise separate them from the homophobic detainees, they failed to do any of these. All detainees remained in one room and a policewoman expressed her support of the homophobic spirit. After that, she went out of the room, and LGBT activists including the underage boy, sat in one room with dozens of active opponents of LGBT for about an hour, while there were no officers of law-enforcement authorities present.

Question 7: Please provide information on the effectiveness of the measures taken to prevent sexual abuse and sexual violence against children and to provide rehabilitation services for victims of such offences.

PHYSICAL AND SEXUAL ABUSE OF LGBT CHILDREN

The report authors are especially concerned with the cases of physical and sexual abuse of LGBT children and adolescents related to their sexual orientation and gender identity.

According to the survey, 18.7% of the polled (50 respondents) were subject to physical abuse due to their sexual orientation and gender identity. 5.1% of the polled, or 16 respondents, were subject to sexual abuse.

---

68 Annual report of the Special Representative to the Secretary-General on violence against children, ¶ 2 A/HRC/19/64 (2012).
69 The case is registered within the frame of monitoring the discrimination and violation of LGBT rights held by Comingout LGBT organization. Besides, the detainees were provided legal support within the scope of the Legal Support program of Comingout LGBT organization. The participants of the abandoned campaign and detainees included officers of Comingout LGBT organization, who witnessed in the case.
70 Rights violation and discrimination towards LGBT in Russia from September 2012 through August 2013. Report Data (August 1-31, 2013), selection by a group under age of 18 was made separately by the author of the research, http://lgbtnet.ru/sites/default/files/monitoring_2013.pdf The Survey included the answers of 267 respondents under age of 18 identifying themselves as LGBT, and the geography of respondents includes 59 constituent territories of the Russian Federation
71 Rights violation and discrimination towards LGBT in Russia from September 2012 through August 2013. Report Data (August 1-31, 2013), selection by a group under age of 18 was made separately by the author of the research, http://lgbtnet.ru/sites/default/files/monitoring_2013.pdf The Survey included the answers of 267 respondents under age of 18 identifying themselves as LGBT, and the geography of respondents includes 59 constituent territories of the Russian Federation
Meantime, only 15 respondents reported that they had appealed to police, and 58.8%, or 157 respondents noted that they did not know where to go for help except to the law enforcement authorities. The Report of the LGBT Network points to the lack of the public establishments to help LGBT children, as well as to the restriction of information for children on how to access such help from the non-commercial organizations.

Examples:

“They would beat me. They caught me in the evening with a bat and damaged my face… It was one time. The other time they sprayed something acid into my eyes. Unknown people. I know that is because of my orientation: they didn’t steal anything, they cried out offensive language, facts, names of the girls I knew.”

“Imagine the situation: I am climbing up the stairs in my headphones and they kick me to my back so that I was just about to fall. Why did they do that? How do you think? Because I like girls. That is something new!”

Question 8: In light of the reports that large numbers of children are forcibly separated from their parents, please clarify what are the criteria and guidelines established for Guardianship and Tutorship bodies and the prosecutor’s office in order to initiate such cases. Please also clarify how the State party interprets the «occurrence of difficult circumstances» under article 73 of the Family Code used as a basis for separation of children from their parents.

PROPAGANDA PURPORTING THE INFERIORITY OF LGBT FAMILIES, ENCOURAGED BY THE ADOPTION OF THE LAWS

Introduction of the laws restricting rights of LGBT people and LGBT advocates, discussion and adoption thereof are accompanied by the public statements of politicians and public figures about the inferiority of LGBT families, distortion of scientific facts, and public speeches on the hatred of same sex families with children. Such actions contribute to the climate of intolerance toward LGBT families and children raised in such families and jeopardize teenagers realizing their homosexuality, bisexuality or transgender status.

Examples:

Dmitry Pershin, a priest and expert of the Committee for matters concerning Women, Families and Young People of the State Duma of the Federal Assembly of the Russian Federation, appeared at the public hearings for a St. Petersburg draft law on “propaganda” in 2012. In his speech he stated: “Let us ask our opponents: Can’t you leave the underage alone and stop the propaganda of homosexuality and other perversions?; “We need such laws to protect our children against becoming victims of your non-conformism”; “The United States are committed to guaranteeing the outrage of sexual minorities in Russia”.

On October 16, 2013 a St. Petersburg TV channel showed a show called “Free choice” to discuss the draft law on termination of parental rights of LGBT parents. Fedor Birukov, a member in the presidium of the political council of the party, which proposed the draft law, stated: “We believe that living in a

72 Rights violation and discrimination towards LGBT in Russia from September 2012 through August 2013. Report Data (August 1-31, 2013), selection by a group under age of 18 was made separately by the author of the research, http://lgbtnet.ru/sites/default/files/monitoring_2013.pdf The Survey included the answers of 267 respondents under age of 18 identifying themselves as LGBT, and the geography of respondents includes 59 constituent territories of the Russian Federation

73 Anastasiya, 15 years, the quote provided by Lena Klimova, a coordinator of project Children-404, which collects stories of the LGBT adolescents in Russia.

74 Darya, 16 years, the quote provided by Lena Klimova, a coordinator of project Children-404, which collects stories of the LGBT adolescents in Russia.

75 For the podcast see ref.: http://vk.com/video99638431_161867330
family where the father or the mother is homosexual, is harmful for the mental and physical health of a child, because such a life often ends with suicide; "Homosexual people cannot have children by natural means; "He may go even far beyond being just a gay, he may be a pedophile. The reason for which he takes a child is a question of particular interest; "We rely on research of the scientists saying that the life in such same sex families is harmful for children; "The problem is that the LGBT community is aggressively forcing their life style, their pseudo values on the Russian society... They demand propaganda of their views. In response to this fact, the sound public forces need to create some legislative measures to restrict such pathology; "We are determined to fight propaganda of pathology and forcing such pathology to the sound majority; "We believe that life in a homosexual family undoubtedly does colossal psychological harm to children, which is worse in terms of life in Russia, where such pseudo traditions are unacceptable. That is why we seek to protect our children against such traumas and suicides, which may result from this so called life style; "And when we are told: "You know, they care about children"... I will repeat the illustration I have previously cited: some kind hearted cannibal may purchase human meat somewhere abroad, he may eat it and in no way offend a child, but he will make him to be a monster. And this is also a pathology."

An interactive survey of spectators taken during that TV show revealed that exactly half of them approve of the law on the termination of parental rights of homosexual parents, believing that children would do better living in an orphanage.

**BULLYING SAME SEX FAMILIES AND THREATENING TO DEPRIVE THEM OF CHILDREN**

Same sex families with children living in St. Petersburg actually fear that the new laws will be applied to them, and many of them have already faced threats of being separated from their children.

*Example:*

In 2013, a family of two women and a school-age child was forced to leave their apartment and transfer the child to another school. The family had rented the apartment and the question of returning the guarantee that had been paid previously, quickly came up. The owner threatened to report the women to the child protection services and to talk to the headmaster of the school where their child was studying, in order to deprive them of their child because the women lived in a homosexual family.

As one of the women said: "It has happened this year. After the adoption of the law on propaganda. He [the owner] told us in person and wrote by mail. We had some dispute over returning the guarantee and he was unwilling to return our money. Therefore he decided to threaten us." In his correspondence, the man expressed threats such as: "I will send a request to the government attorney and child protection services to investigate the case, whether the lesbian girls may practice international adoption with the copy to be sent to the place of study of the boy. And they will deprive you of the child".

The women had to move to another (their own) apartment and change schools for the child. Now they don’t go to the school together and only one woman picks the child up from school, telling their neighbors that they are cousins."

"We have never faced aggression because only our friends know about us, and we conceal our private life at work. But parents of one of us don’t accept our relationship and we are not a family for them. We fear that when the law on termination of parental rights of homosexual parents is adopted, our relatives will be able to use this law against us. Once they threatened that they would take our child."78

---


77 2013, a lesbian family with a child, the case is registered within the frame of monitoring the discrimination and violation of LGBT rights, held by Comingout LGBT organization.

78 2013, a lesbian family with a child, a quote is provided by the coordinator of LGBT Parents program implemented by ComingOut LGBT organization.
GROWTH OF "EVERYDAY HOMOPHOBIA" TOWARDS SAME SEX FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN

New laws and their discussion have adverse effects on same sex families with children, who feel a homophobic atmosphere surrounding them and their children after the adoption of the law.

Example:

“We have a growing daughter and we started facing problems after she became 4. Our neighbors spoke openly: “We don’t like your living here. Get out of here!” They also would say: “If there is anything we don’t like, we will take measures.” Once the housing company (it is located in our house block and has good relations with our neighbors) said that they wanted to file a complaint with the child protection service. They believed that the child lived in terrible conditions. I asked: "What do you mean? The child has meals, shoes, and love" Of course, we heard no reasonable answer, but for glances of reproof and disapproving eyes. I was shaking with nerves when I went outside. My legs were sinking under me. Since the time when we learned about the law we have had no good sleep. There were situations at the playground when [our daughter] cried ‘mom’ to me and [my partner] while other parents took [their] child and walked away [from the playground]. It was after the adoption of the law on gay propaganda. I would say to my [daughter] to avoid calling both of us ‘mom’ in public. But she could not understand me! She would say that mom [name of the partner] is her natural mom, why couldn’t she call her that way?! So I realized that I am breaking the mind of my child because of my fears. She had two mothers, natural mothers, she didn’t understand those terrible laws, our fear for her safety, and it was difficult to explain it to her after all. We couldn’t find the right words. And we don’t want to look for them, we don’t want to be afraid”.

FORCED "DOUBLE LIFE" FOR CHILDREN FROM LGBT FAMILIES

In the current situation, fearing homophobia and adverse attitudes directed toward their children, many homosexual parents are choosing to live a “double life” in which a child has to say that he has only one parent, while the second actual parent is just a distant relative or an associate, or a child has to invent a non-existent ‘father’.

Examples:

“Our younger son was given a questionnaire (by the psychologist), and the questionnaire had entries such as: ‘Mother’ (we pointed Yes), ‘Father’ (we pointed No), ’Who does the child live with?’ (we wrote ‘With mother, brother and aunt’)”

“Kindergartners are bewildered the child by asking: “What is your aunt’s name?” – and he would answer nothing, as he doesn’t know, what ‘aunt’ they are talking about, because he knows only mom and mom Lena.”

“At work I would say that we had father, but we had no marriage registration, and eventually I appeared to be a single mother with her child overseen by an associate”.

Regarding the situation created for many same sex families raising children, there is only one way out: emigration. According to the survey held by the ComingOut LGBT organization in the fall 2013, among the LGBT families with children (most of the polled live in St. Petersburg), 58% plan to leave Russia, while another 27% haven’t decided yet. Only 15% do not plan to leave the country.

---

79 2013, a lesbian family with two children, the quote provided by the coordinator of LGBT Parents program implemented by ComingOut LGBT organization.

80 2013, a lesbian family with two children, children call both women ‘mom’, the quote provided by the coordinator of LGBT Parents program implemented by ComingOut LGBT organization.

81 2013, a lesbian family with a child, the quote provided by the coordinator of LGBT Parents program implemented by ComingOut LGBT organization.

82 2013, a lesbian family with a child, the quote provided by the coordinator of LGBT Parents program implemented by ComingOut LGBT organization.
Question 18: Please explain the extensive segregation of Roma children in “Roma classes” and poor quality of teaching in such classes and inform the Committee on the measures taken to provide access to Roma children to regular schools and classes. Please also inform the Committee on the measures taken to abolish administrative barriers for the enrolment of children of migrant workers and asylum seeking children into regular schools.

Segregation of Roma children

Measures listed in the Russian Federation's written response do not constitute evidence of any actions by state bodies aimed at abolishing the practice of segregation of Roma children. For example, the “ABC book” for Roma children, which was listed in the response, was prepared by the inter-cultural communication department of the Herzen State Pedagogical University of Russia as part of a project by an NGO, ADC Memorial, without any state assistance, was not initiated by Russian Federation state bodies in the educational sphere, and cannot be claimed by them as an achievement. The "positive experience of working with Roma children" at one of the general education schools in Leningrad Oblast, where “Roma culture and Roma language are taught”, mentioned in the response, is likely a reference to the school of the Leningrad Oblast village of Verkhniye Oselki, which is located near the Roma settlement of Peri. ADC Memorial has been providing assistance to Roma children who are taught at this school for a long time, and all throughout this time the centre faced the school administration's unwillingness to take any positive measures concerning Roma children. Preparation for school and extra classes are held by ADC Memorial’s workers and volunteers.

Segregation of Roma children at Oselkovskaya general education school (Vsevolozhsky District of the Leningrad Oblast)

As the report has already pointed out, Roma children from the settlement of Peri have been educated at the municipal educational institution Oselkovskaya general education school, separately from other children for many years.

New attempts to overcome the segregation of Roma children, made by ADC Memorial before the 2013-2014 school year, have been once again rejected by the school administration. Appeals to relevant state bodies also did not bring any result. We would like the Committee to note that in the situation with Oselkovskaya school, the authorities not only fail to attempt to protect children from a vulnerable group, but also ignore or offer resistance to actions and appeals aimed at overcoming the segregation.

On May 14, 2013, representatives of ADC Memorial took part in a working meeting at the Education Committee for Vsevolozhsky District, attended by the Chairman of the Committee A. T. Morzhinsky, his deputy Ya. V. Sakhatksaya and Director of Oselkovskaya school Z. G. Tsareva. At the end of the discussion, Z. G. Tsareva agreed that parents of would-be first-graders could file requests for their children to study in general, not “Roma”, classes.

On June 25, 2013, parents of the would-be first-grade pupils from the Roma settlement visited the school and personally tried to file requests for their children to study in general integrated classes with other children, and not in “Roma” classes. On formal grounds, school administration (including the director, Z. G. Tsareva) rejected the parents’ appeals, telling them that forming classes is the exclusive right of the school administration. On top of that, some school teachers and workers did not hesitate to express their anti-Roma prejudice (for instance, saying that if Roma children will attend school, ethnic Russian children will not want to study alongside them, or that Roma children may damage the recently renovated school), which is unacceptable, particularly at an educational establishment.

---

83 Audio recording of a conversation with school administration and teachers which occurred as an attempt to file requests for educating Roma children at general classes was made is held at the ADC Memorial archive.
Due to the school administration’s refusal to accept requests from first-graders’ parents, a new appeal was sent to the Children’s Rights Ombudsman under the Leningrad Oblast governor, T. A. Litvinova, but no response was received.

To find out whether any measures had been taken in response to the appeal, ADC Memorial workers met school pupils from the Roma settlement of Peri and their parents on September 26, 2013. During the meeting it was established that all Roma children are educated in a separate building in “Roma classes”, which was the case before, and do not receive quality education.

Thus, Oselkovskaya school administration actually insists on the correctness of a segregationist approach to education of Roma children, and Leningrad Oblast’s Education Committee and Children’s Rights Ombudsman do not take any action.

Barriers to education for labour migrants’ children in the schools of the Russian Federation

In its written responses, the Russian Federation points out that “legislation of the Russian Federation does not have any barriers for enrollment of children of migrant workers and of children of asylum seekers in regular schools (see question 6)”. However, the practice of enrollment of labour migrants’ children in schools does not confirm that. Labour migrants’ children have to present their parents’ residence registration. They are also obliged to leave the Russian Federation every 90 days to extend the term of their stay, which hinders the education process.

The limitation of migrants’ children right for obtaining education is confirmed by the expulsion of a pupil who is a Moldovan national from the first grade of school No 187 in St Petersburg’s Krasnogvardeysky District. In October 2013 he was expelled because his registration in the form of a postal notification, presented at the moment of enrollment, was ostensibly inconsistent with Federal Migration Service orders. The school administration was acting on the basis of a document received from the district education department, according to an explanation received by the school. The Krasnogvardeysky District education department said that they received an order from the Federal Migration Service directorate concerning pupils who are foreign nationals, which they forwarded to the schools of the district. Legislation of the Russian Federation stipulates that a foreign national’s registration in the form of notification of the arrival in the residence location can be made as a postal notification. Nevertheless, the child foreign national was requested to provide a registration directly with the Federal Migration Service directorate, which is not a legal requirement, and was expelled from school on the basis of lacking such a registration.

Moreover, State Duma members have proposed a bill which would actually deprive labour migrants’ children of the right to study at Russian schools. State Duma member Aleksey Zhuravlev (United Russia) proposed a bill on limiting migrant children’s access to schools and nurseries. The bill was accepted and registered by the State Duma, and is now under consideration.84

“Explanatory notes” for the bill directly point out that it is being proposed “in order to solve the problem of uncontrolled growth in the number of migrant children in Russia’s nurseries and schools”85. In order to limit migrant children’s access to schools and nurseries, the bill proposes to demand a tax inspection verification letter for the year preceding the start of education.

As an argument for the bill, the lawmakers said that there were too many migrant children attending school, and “some of them hardly speak any Russian, thus they cannot get normal education themselves and often slow down the process of educating Russian children”. Authors of the bill view compulsory request for parents’ temporary registration as an “improvement of the situation” compared to the past: “Previously, all migrants’ children have been accepted at schools and nurseries. Now the situation has improved somewhat: at the moment, only a migrant with temporary registration can have the child enrolled in a nursery or a school. The term of temporary

registration is limited to three months and has to be extended upon expiration, but many migrants fail to do that, and their children continue visiting nurseries or learning at schools.”

This bill reflects Russian authorities’ attitude to the problems of educating migrants’ children, an attitude according to which fulfilling of migration norms and rules by both migrant children and their parents is more important than protecting children from a vulnerable group and providing them with an access to education.

ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS CONNECTED TO THE INITIAL REPORT OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPTIONAL PROTOCOL TO THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD ON THE INVOLVEMENT OF CHILDREN IN ARMED CONFLICT (CRC/C/OPAC/RUS/1)( QUESTION 4)

Question 4: On children educated in military schools

Apart from children studying at military schools, information about which was provided by the Russian Federation in responses to questions (for example, question 4), in general education schools of the Russian Federation so-called “Cossack classes” are increasingly more wide-spread. Education in them also includes elements connected to military education.

Participation of the Cossacks in school education

Schools in the Russian Federation are noticeably increasing the “patriotic” component of education which often includes “traditional values” and “basics of Orthodox Christian religion”. In some regions it takes the form of setting up “Cossack classes” at schools or participation of the Cossacks in school life to various extents (apart from specialized educational establishments – “Cossack Corps” - existing separately).

For instance, in Krasnodar Territory it was proposed to “attach a Cossack to every school.” To justify the proposal, it was said that “Cossacks in general education schools, which do not have the status of a specifically Cossack educational establishment, will help fill male pupils’ lack of “man’s education”, because often primarily women and girls work as teachers at schools.”

Introduction of “Cossack classes” has taken place in nearly all of the Southern regions of the Russian Federation; in Saratov Oblast, in Stavropol Territory, in Krasnodar Territory (“a total of 14 Cossack classes will appear at a Sochi school this year”), in North Ossetia, as well as in other regions, such as the Far East.

Such changes are presented by school administrations and representatives of education bodies as positive changes, aimed at developing children. However, in essence they constitute enforcing traditional beliefs and Orthodox Christian culture, and contribute to the militarization of school education. It is noted that in “Cossack classes” special attention is paid to religious education, as well as physical education. Physical education in Cossack classes is “dedicated to hand-to-hand combat. It is taught by a former officer of an OMON riot squad”. “Basics of Orthodox culture” are taught more in-depth in Cossack classes. According to one headmaster, such work results in children’s increased interest in religion.

86 http://www.kp.ru/daily/26132/3023345/
87 http://www.kp.ru/daily/26132/3023345/
88 http://www.sarinform.ru/articles/2013/08/14/97400
89 http://www.stavropolye.tv/ce/view/61714
90 http://www.dg-yug.ru/a/2013/08/07/V_Sochi_shkolniki_nadenut
91 http://www.regnum.ru/news/polit/1698648.html#ixzz2h8dfKeHb
92 http://usakhalinsk.ruspit.ru/index/kazachih-klassov-na-sahaline-stanet-bolshe.html
Every year, their school takes part in a church procession which is held in the autumn to mark Orthodox Christian holidays.\textsuperscript{93} School pupils wear uniforms based on gender stereotypes which underscore the militarist character of “Cossack education” for boys. “Boys wear uniform coat and trousers, and girls wear long skirts and bright headscarves on holidays.”\textsuperscript{94}

Besides that, the role of Cossacks in modern Russian society goes beyond supporting an ethnic minority, and means creating a sort of privileged group which is formed on ethnic and religious basis. In many regions, including St. Petersburg, “Cossack squads” are authorized to check migrants’ documents and assist Federal Migration Service officers in identifying “illegal migrants”, and they are intolerant of members of sexual minorities and followers of other religions (for example, in Sochi Cossacks “dispersed a Krishna celebration.”\textsuperscript{95}

This trend of increasing the “Cossack element” in general education schools may worsen the conditions for minority children, particularly migrants’ children.

**Question 10: The Committee asked for information about any existing mechanisms for the quickest personal identification of the refugee children, for asylum seeking children, or for migrant children who might be involved or used in military actions. The information was also asked about measures aimed at physical and psychological rehabilitation of those children, as well as at encouraging of their social re-integration.**

In its response to this question, the Russian Federation noted that “this question was incorrectly formulated because in the territory of the Russian Federation, including the North Caucasus region, there is no situation of armed conflict of non-international character conforming to relevant clauses of international humanitarian law”. Human rights organisations do not possess any reliable information on measures that were taken to protect refugee children and children seeking asylum, or children of migrants (i.e. those from the Kyrgyzstan city of Osh who left their native country due to an ethnic conflict in which they may have been involved had they stayed in their country of citizenship). Under Russian legislation, they are treated as children of labour migrants, and they can be banned from entering the Russian Federation, which creates the danger of having to return to the zone of ethnic conflict (see information in question 6 on the case of Samikzhon Samikov).

\textsuperscript{93} http://www.sarinform.ru/articles/2013/08/14/97400

\textsuperscript{94} http://www.dg-yug.ru/a/2013/08/07/V_Sochi_shkolniki_nadenut

\textsuperscript{95} http://nazaccent.ru/content/5429-v-sochi-kazaki-razognali-prazdnik-krishnaitov.html
This information has been compiled as a result of collaborative efforts of three Russian organizations: Anti-discrimination Centre «Memorial», regional St. Petersburg organization «Coming Out» and Russian LGBT Network.

With the support of the platform Central Asia on the Move